

OWL Change Management Patterns

Rim Djedidi, Marie-Aude Aufaure

▶ To cite this version:

Rim Djedidi, Marie-Aude Aufaure. OWL Change Management Patterns. The 5th Workshop on Semantic Web Applications and Perspectives (SWAP2008), Dec 2008, Rome, Italy. 3 p. hal-00350540

HAL Id: hal-00350540

https://hal-centrale supelec. archives-ouvertes. fr/hal-00350540

Submitted on 7 Jan 2009

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers. L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

OWL Change Management Patterns

Rim Djedidi¹, and Marie-Aude Aufaure²

¹ Computer Science Department, Supélec Campus de Gif Plateau du Moulon – 3, rue Joliot Curie – 91192 Gif sur Yvette Cedex, France rim.djedidi@supelec.fr

² MAS Laboratory, SAP Business Object Chair –Centrale Paris Grande Voie des Vignes, F-92295 Châtenay-Malabry Cedex, France marie-aude.aufaure@ecp.fr

Abstract. Ontology evolution is a complex problem. In our work, we focus on issues related to change management, particularly consistency maintenance and we present in this paper, an overview of Change Management Patterns (CMP) that we have defined to model the three dimensions *change, inconsistency* and *resolution alternative.* Modelling these patterns and the links between them, helps to propose an automated process guiding and controlling change application while maintaining consistency of the evolved ontology. Change management depends closely on the ontology representation model, we focus on OWL language and we consider change impact on logical consistency as specified in OWL DL layer.

Keywords: Ontology Evolution, Change Management, Consistency, OWL DL.

1 Introduction

In this paper, we present an overview of OWL Change Management Patterns (CMP) that we have defined. The goal of pattern-centered modelling is to offer for each of the three dimensions: *change*, *inconsistency* and *resolution alternative*, different levels of abstraction and to establish links between them (Fig 1).

2 Change Management Patterns

Change Management Patterns (CMP) are proposed as a solution looking for invariances in change management that repeatedly appear when evolving ontologies. They classify categories of changes based on OWL model, categories of logical inconsistencies considering OWL DL constraints and categories of inconsistency resolution alternatives. Links between CMP help determining inconsistencies that could be potentially caused by a type of change and alternatives that possibly resolve a kind of inconsistency and thus providing an automated process for change management.



Fig. 1. Conceptual model of change management patterns (CMP).

2.1 Change Patterns

Change patterns categorize changes and define formally their signification, their scope and their potential implications. They cover OWL basic changes and a first core of composed changes. Change pattern components are:

- Involved entities corresponding to conceptual primitives of OWL;
- Arguments including all necessary parameters to implement the change. Argument content varies depending on change type and involved entities;
- Constraints (preconditions) to satisfy so that change can be applied without affecting the logical consistency of the ontology.

Example1. Let's consider a basic change pattern of adding a sub-class:

Table 1. Basic change pattern example.

| Туре | Involved | Arguments | Constraints | OWL DL Axioms |
|------------|----------|----------------|----------------|----------------|
| | Entities | | | |
| P_Bas_Chg_ | Class, | Id_Super-class | ¬(sub_classID | SubClassOf |
| Add_ | Class | Id_Sub-class | disjointWith | (Super_classID |
| Sub_Class | | | Super_classID) | ,Sub_classID) |

2.2 Inconsistency Patterns

Inconsistency pattern components are:

- The IDs of all entities implicated directly or indirectly in a logical inconsistency;
- The IDs of entities involved in the inconsistency;
- Axioms involved in the inconsistency.

Example 2. Let's take the basic change example (Example 1) and suppose that the constraint is not satisfied, a possible corresponding disjointness inconsistency pattern can be described as follow:

Table 2. Inconsistency pattern example.

| Туре | Implicated Entities | Involved Entities | OWL DL Axioms |
|----------|---------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| P_Incons | Super_classID, | Super_classID, | Super_sub_class_ID |
| _Disj | Sub_classID, | Super_sub_ | <pre>□ ¬Super_classID,</pre> |
| | Super_sub_class_ID | class_ID | Sub_classID |
| | | | ⊑ Super_sub_class_ID |

2.3 Alternative Patterns

An alternative pattern represents an additional change to apply so that a logical inconsistency can be resolved. It is described as a basic or composed change and it inherits change pattern properties (Fig 1). Other information can also enrich alternative pattern description such as preconditions to satisfy before choosing an alternative pattern as a resolution.

Example 3. Let's reconsider the basic change example (Example 1), the possible alternative patterns for disjointness inconsistency caused by this change (Example 2) can be described as follow:

| Pattern alternative 1 | | | | |
|---|--|--|--|--|
| Туре | P_Alt_Disj_Bas_Chg_Add_Sub_Class | | | |
| Involved Entities | Class, Class | | | |
| Arguments | Id_Superclass, Id_Sub-class, Id1_cls_disj, Id2_cls_disj | | | |
| Preconditions | SuperClass(Id1_cls_disj)∩ | | | |
| | <pre>SuperClass(Id2_cls_disj) = Id_Superclass</pre> | | | |
| Constraints | ¬(sub_classID disjoint₩ith Super_classID) | | | |
| OWL DL Axiom | SubClassOf | | | |
| | (Super_classID, Sub_classID) | | | |
| Pattern alternative 2 (synthetic version) | | | | |
| Туре | P_Alt_Disj_Comp_Chg_HybridClass_Link | | | |
| Involved Entities | Class, Class | | | |
| Arguments | Id_HybridClass, Id_Sub-class, Id1_cls_disj, Id2_cls_disj | | | |
| Intermediate | Class(Id_ HybridClass {intersectionOf({ | | | |
| Components : | <pre>Id1_cls_disj, Id2cls_disj})</pre> | | | |
| OWL DL Axioms | SubClassOf (Id_ HybridClass, Id_sub_class) | | | |

 Table 3.
 Alternative pattern examples.

3 Conclusion

In this paper we present OWL Change Management Patterns modelling the three dimensions: *change*, *inconsistency* and *resolution alternative* and links between them. The defined patterns cover OWL DL basic changes, a sub-set of composed changes and a first core of logical inconsistencies and of alternatives that could resolve them.

Acknowledgments. Researches reported in this paper are founded by the French National Research Agency ANR, as a part of the project DAFOE: Differential and Formal Ontology Editor.