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Abstract—Photovoltaic (PV) modules are generally installed by the application of empirical rules aimed at reducing shadows during the periods of high solar irradiation. A traditional installation on a horizontal surface results in largely spaced rows of modules with a relatively low tilt angle. The addition of inter-row reflectors results in more direct and diffuse flux transmitted to the cells. The "Aleph" (Amélioration de l’Efficacité Photovoltaïque) project aims to define clear rules for optimal settings of systems of PV module rows with fixed inter-row planar reflectors in a given location and under a given climate. Two PV technologies are tested for performance with this type of system: amorphous silicon (a-Si) and polycrystalline silicon (p-Si). This work combines experiments on panel behavior in an outdoor environment on the SIRTA (Site Instrumental de Recherche par Télédétection Atmosphérique) meteorology platform and a multiphysics numerical model used to couple all the important physical phenomena and accurately describe the system behavior. The model includes a ray tracing radiation/optics module based on the Monte-Carlo method, as well as an electrical module simulated in SPICE. This work presents the influence of the string mismatch losses, present at periods of heterogeneous illumination, on the yield of PV modules augmented by static planar reflectors.

Index Terms—photovoltaic modules, low concentration, planar reflectors, increase of production, multiphysics model, simulation, optimization.

I. INTRODUCTION

The Aleph experiment is rooted in the recognition of the constraints on the design of conventional photovoltaic (PV) installations on a horizontal plane, such as rooftop installations and large-scale solar farms installed in the field. In a typical installation, system density, defined here as the ratio between the cell surface and the surface of the field, is limited by the need to minimize shading periods which occur in the morning and evening periods. The resulting system is of a relatively low angle of inclination “s” of the PV modules and an inter-row spacing “D” equal to 4-5 times the module height “L” (see Fig. 1). This arrangement results in the inter-row space being illuminated during the period of highest solar irradiation (around solar noon). The Aleph project aims to explore the benefit of adding inter-row planar reflectors to increase the system yield.

The use of planar reflectors is an already established technique for increasing the solar flux incident on a collector, and various studies have been performed for estimating the increase in irradiation from a given collector-reflector system geometry [1]–[27]. Ronnelid et al. [20] performed a theoretical study of the planar reflector system. For a latitude of 60° N and a specular reflector with a reflectivity of 0.8, a gain of annual output from a-Si PV modules of up to 25% was predicted. Matsushima et al. [14] explored the benefit of adding booster reflectors by placing the reflector at a 90° angle with a c-Si PV module and varying the inclination of the assembly. With a latitude of about 35° N (Tokyo, Japan) and a specular reflector with a reflectivity of 0.95, a gain of 50% in produced electricity was estimated. Andrews et al. [3] experimentally tested the PV-booster system over a year. For the latitude of 44° N (Kingston, Canada), a specular reflector with a reflectivity of 0.90 and a c-Si module, a gain of 45% of produced energy was observed.

This work presents the influence of the string mismatch losses, present at periods of heterogeneous illumination, on the yield of PV modules equipped by static planar reflectors.

II. EXPERIMENTAL SETUP

The experiment is installed in the SIRTA [28] meteorological station in Palaiseau, France, located at 48.71° N, 2.21° E. It currently consists of three rows of six PV modules. Each row is comprised of modules of two technologies: amorphous silicon (a-Si) and polycrystalline silicon (p-Si).

The modules are inclined with an angle of s = 49° (roughly equal to the latitude) and the rows are spaced apart with a distance D/L = 3/1 (see Fig. 1), avoiding most shading in the winter. The two rear rows are equipped with planar reflectors consisting of low-cost mirrors of 3mm thickness. On September 26, 2014, aluminum foil was installed as reflector on row 3. The configuration is adjustable and provides space for an additional row of modules and mirrors. The PV modules are orientated as portrait or landscape to allow for a study of the impact of the module orientation on the degree of string mismatch.

The set is instrumented to acquire data continuously from sunrise to sunset. The I-V characteristic is measured using
a Keithley 2635A SourceMeter, and a Keithley Multiplexers switch 3706A with two communication cards, 3720 and 3721. The operating temperature of the PV modules is measured at the rear of each module using class A platinum probes, Pt-100.

Access to all atmospheric variables measured by SIRTA has been granted, including global, diffuse, and direct irradiances, local albedo, air temperature and humidity, speed and wind direction at various altitudes, and satellite images.

III. EXPERIMENTAL RESULTS

The collected data demonstrate the interest of this system. Fig. 2 presents the gain in the daily and monthly produced energy achieved by $p$-Si and $a$-Si PV modules under concentration compared to the control modules. The modules under concentration represented here are situated in the middle of rows 2 and 3, and are therefore not subject to severe edge effects. The monthly gain is highest at spring and fall, and reaches 26% for $a$-Si modules and 18% for $p$-Si modules. Comparable gains were observed for two reflector types, ordinary mirror and aluminum foil. The daily gain reaches 35% at certain periods of the year. Note that higher gains are achieved for $a$-Si compared to $p$-Si modules, owing to different layouts of cells.

As all the modules are measured with the same source-meter, simultaneous continuous measurements are not feasible and a time lag exists between the determinations of the $P_{mpp}$ of each module. Therefore, measurements made during periods of highly varying solar irradiation (e.g. during the presence of clouds) may suggest unfavourable ratios of energy production.

Unfavourable monthly ratios of energy production in the winter months, as suggested by Fig. 2, can be largely attributed to the above-mentioned data acquisition limitation when taking measurements during periods of intermittent solar resource. This becomes clear when one notes a rather constant gain in daily produced energy during overcast days (days with high daily average DHI/GHI, shown in grey), and a seemingly variable gain during intermittent days (shown in yellow). A secondary factor contributing to unfavourable ratios of energy production in the winter period is the presence of shadows on reflector-equipped modules during early morning and late evening periods of winter days. This shadow does not appear on the frontal modules used as control, resulting in an apparent loss of energy. However, the shadow effect would be identical for the two systems (PV+Reflector and classical installation) constructed with the same inter-row spacing. For these reasons and contrary to what the data suggests, no losses of energy should be expected with the addition of inter-row planar reflectors.

It is interesting to note that the shadow has a different effect on the two technologies, having a greater impact on the performance of $p$-Si modules. This is because the resulting heterogeneous illumination produces a more severe string mismatch effect for the $p$-Si compared to the $a$-Si modules.

IV. NUMERICAL MODELLING

To correctly describe the electricity production of the planar concentrator PV system, it is important to build a multiphysics model integrating all the relevant phenomena, such as the solar resource, the photovoltaic conversion process, as well as the applicable loss mechanisms. Andrews et al. [2] give an excellent overview of the loss mechanisms present in this kind of system, namely angle-of-incidence losses, reflection losses, string mismatch, temperature effects and spectral mismatch.

A. Ray Tracing Module

To describe the total flux transmitted to the cells, which includes the contribution of the reflector and the optical losses, a ray tracing model was developed in the EDStar environment [29]. The geometry was modelled in 3D using the PBRT framework, commonly used for rendering 3D models. It was described as an inclined reflector surface and an oppositely inclined receiver surface, representing the imaginary PV-cell surface in the plane of the modules encompassing all the modules in the experiment. Reflectance of the reflector as well as the transmittance and reflectance of the PV module front glass were described using the procedure outlined by Hoang...
et al. [30], applying the refractive indices of materials found in the literature [31]. The reflectivity was adjusted to account for the spectral mismatch between the reflected wavelengths and the spectral response of the modules.

To precisely describe the position of the sun relative to the system at any moment, several astronomical variables had to be calculated. Solar declination and the equation of time were defined by the means of empirical formulas as outlined by Bourdin [32], based on the data provided by IMCCE [33]. The corresponding maximum error resulting from using the empirical formulas is \(\pm 0.20^\circ\) and 16 seconds, respectively. The local hour angle, solar altitude and solar azimuth, were found using the equations derived by Duffie and Beckman [34].

The direct flux was modelled using the "pill-box" sun model, meaning that the surface of the sun, as seen from Earth, was sampled with a uniform probability during the Monte-Carlo simulations. The diffuse flux was modelled using the isotropic sky model [35].

Monte-Carlo simulations were run with 10,000,000 histories. This yielded a 2D distribution of the factor of concentration or shading, present on the imaginary PV-cell surface (see Fig. 3a). Since the angle-dependent transmission through the module front layers is calculated at each history, this factor includes the corresponding losses. The resolution of this distribution was set at 48x288 "pixels", which is high enough to study the string mismatch effect while resulting in an acceptable standard deviation.

MATLAB was used to transform this 2D distribution into an average concentration by cell, taking as an input the physical arrangement of the modules (see Fig. 3b and 3c).

B. Electrical Module

After having calculated both the direct and diffuse flux factors of concentration-shading-transmission by cell, multiplying them by appropriate irradiance values results in the total flux values transmitted to the cells. The electrical behaviour of the two kinds of modules were modelled in SPICE, starting with developing an equivalent circuit model of a cell of each PV technology.

A \(p\)-Si cell was modelled using a modified 2-diode equivalent circuit model where the parallel resistance was replaced with a voltage-dependent term describing the avalanche breakdown during reverse bias, as described by Bishop [36] and Silvestre et al. [37]. Figure 4 shows the schematic of the electrical model of a \(p\)-Si cell.

Figure 5 shows the current in each component of the \(p\)-Si cell model as a function of the applied voltage. The cell was parametrized using the values shown in Table I, and subjected to STC conditions.

An \(a\)-Si cell was modelled using a 1-diode model with two additional terms: one to describe the avalanche breakdown (as done for the \(p\)-Si cell), and a second to describe the recombination in the \(i\)-layer, as outlined by Merten et al. [38]. Figure 6 shows the schematic of the electrical model of a \(a\)-Si cell.

Figure 7 shows the current in each component of the \(a\)-Si cell model. The cell was parametrized using the values shown in Table I, and subjected to STC conditions. Similarly to the \(p\)-Si cell, the shunt current increases rapidly as the voltage bias approaches the set breakdown voltage, -20 V. Note the
Table I

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>$p$-Si</th>
<th>$a$-Si</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Area (cm$^2$)</td>
<td>22.0</td>
<td>65.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_0$ (A/cm$^2$)</td>
<td>$5.00 \times 10^{-13}$</td>
<td>$5.00 \times 10^{-19}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_{02}$ (A/cm$^2$)</td>
<td>$2.30 \times 10^{-7}$</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$J_{SC,STC}$ (A/cm$^2$)</td>
<td>$3.80 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
<td>$8.20 \times 10^{-2}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_s$ (Ω cm$^2$)</td>
<td>0.55</td>
<td>26.36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{sh}$ (Ω cm$^2$)</td>
<td>1650</td>
<td>6590</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha_{isc}$ (1/°C)</td>
<td>$6.00 \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>$1.20 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_1$</td>
<td>1.00</td>
<td>1.60</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n_2$</td>
<td>2.00</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a$</td>
<td>$2.00 \times 10^{-3}$</td>
<td>0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$m$</td>
<td>3.70</td>
<td>3.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_{br}$ (V)</td>
<td>-15.0</td>
<td>-20.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_i$ (cm)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$3.50 \times 10^{-5}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\mu e_{eff}$ (cm$^2$/V)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$1.60 \times 10^{-8}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$V_h$ (V)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>1.75</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The electrical model was calibrated using experimental measurements. The electrical parameters were adjusted through trial and error until the $I$-$V$ curves measured at several times of the day were reproduced by the model (see Fig. 9 for an example). The obtained electrical parameters are listed in Table I. Figure 9 shows the model behaviour after calibration for an $a$-Si module under concentration, on May 17, 2014 at 10:39 UTC.
C. Integrated Model Validation

The integrated model was run using the measured module temperatures and atmospheric data of May 17, 2014, a relatively clear day, and the results were compared with module power output measurements. As seen in Fig. 10, the power output of 6 modules was simulated: a central, an edge and a control module of both a-Si and p-Si technologies. The string mismatch effect observable in the performance of edge modules was successfully reproduced, validating the model. On this day, the following gains in produced energy were achieved: 19% and 16% for central and edge a-Si modules, and 10% and 6% for the central and edge p-Si modules. This shows the advantage of the amorphous module topology in portrait orientation, with cells extending along the total height of the module.

D. Example Application

The model was used to evaluate the influence of the distance of a module from the edge of the row on the yield (see Fig. 11). To emphasize the edge effect, the annual production of east edge modules was considered during morning periods (a similar behaviour exists during evening periods for the west edge modules). During these periods, the influence of the reflector varies based on the distance from the edge, favouring the central modules. Furthermore, as the edge effect and the string mismatch are the most influential during clear sky periods, a clear-sky model [39] was used to set irradiance values.

The result of such a study can be used to decide on the optimal length of a reflector overhang to minimise the edge effect and in turn maximise production (in this case, 2-3 module widths). Note that in temperate climates, where the diffuse component presents a significant portion of the total irradiation, the edge effect will be less influential on the yield.

V. Conclusion

The experimental data show that the use of fixed planar concentrators is a low-cost technique to increase system yield, resulting in gains in daily produced energy of up to 35% during clear sky days of certain periods of the year. Gains in monthly produced energy of up to 26% and 18% were measured for a-Si and p-Si modules, respectively.

A multiphysics model was developed to study the performance of a low-concentration PV system, introducing a new modelling methodology to evaluate the impact of the string mismatch on the performance of a-Si and p-Si modules under various configurations. The string mismatch can have a considerable impact on the performance of reflector-equipped PV modules, and was found to affect p-Si modules more severely than a-Si modules due to the difference in cell layout. The model can be used to find the system setting that will maximize the system yield.
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