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Abstract
To assess the conformity of a building in case of fire, fire engineers use numerical simulations. A popular software for fire simulations is Fire Dynamics Simulator (FDS). It is based on a finite difference method that takes into account the random behavior of the fire. Thus, the response of FDS is stochastic. The mesh size used in the numerical scheme can be chosen by the user. When the mesh size decreases, the accuracy and the computation time of simulations increase. At low accuracy, one simulation takes a few minutes to run, whereas it can be several weeks at high accuracy. We consider the problem of estimating the behavior of fine-mesh simulations (high-fidelity) using a combination of coarse-mesh simulations (low-fidelity). This approach is called multi-fidelity. We propose to extend the Bayesian multi-fidelity models proposed by Picheny and Ginsbourger [2013] and Tuo et al. [2014] to the case of stochastic simulations.

Fire Dynamics Simulator

FDS has two main characteristics: • finite difference methods ⇒ mesh size can be tuned; • random behavior of fire ⇒ stochastic simulator.

Objective: build a (meta)-model of FDS at high-fidelity from low-fidelity results:
• combining results from different levels of accuracy ⇒ multi-fidelity;
• using Gaussian process ⇒ Bayesian framework.

Proposed model

Data:
• inputs: \((x_i, t_i) \in (X \times T) \subset (\mathbb{R}^d \times \mathbb{R}^t)\), where \(t\) stands for the mesh size;
• outputs: \((z_i) \in \mathbb{R}^t\).

Likelihood:
stochastic code + independent observations:

\[
(z_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}} \sim N(\xi(x_i, t_i); \text{diag}(\lambda(x_i, t_i))) .
\]

Prior:
1. \(\xi\) is a Gaussian process:

\[
\xi(x, t) \sim GP(m(x, t); k(x, t), x(x', t')) .
\]

2. \(\xi\) converges when \(t\) tends to 0:

\[
\xi(x) = \lim_{t \to 0} \xi(x, t) .
\]

Models are validated by comparing:
• predictions (posterior mean) with observations;
• distributions of normalized residual with the standard normal distribution.

Quality of prediction:
• H-F[10] has bad predictions;
• M-F1 and M-F2 give similar quality of predictions;
• H-F[100] is the best, but its design is 11 times more costly.

Probability to exceed a threshold
Suppose \(P_x\) a probability distribution on inputs.

Curves of posterior predictions:
1000 conditional simulations × 5000 points along \(P_x\).

By comparison with H-F[100] posterior density:
• H-F[10] and M-F2 have small variance, but their distributions do not exceed the posterior distribution of H-F[100];
• M-F1 has a larger variance, but its posterior density maximum is inter the posterior distribution of H-F[100];
⇒ M-F2 provides a better quantification of uncertainty.

Conclusion

• Contribution

A Bayesian model for multi-fidelity stochastic simulations has been proposed.

• Our model has been shown to provide, in a numerical experiment with FDS, a good quantification of uncertainty on predictions.

• Future work

Fully Bayesian inference for hyper-parameters;
sequential design of experiments.
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