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Abstract
This paper investigates cooperative output synchronization and bipartite output synchronization of a group of linear heterogeneous agents in a unified framework. For a structurally balanced signed graph, we prove that the bipartite output synchronization is equivalent to the cooperative output synchronization over an unsigned graph whose adjacency matrix is obtained by taking the absolute value of each entry in the adjacency matrix of the signed graph. We obtain a new $H_{\infty}$-criterion which is sufficient for both cooperative output synchronization and bipartite output synchronization.
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1 Introduction
Cooperative consensus of multi-agent systems has been studied widely in the literature [10]. One particular interest is the Cooperative Output Synchronization (COS), where the outputs of the agents synchronize to each other or to a reference trajectory. There are several applications for COS like formation control, distributed control of UAVs, sensor networks, etc [10,6]. However, in a number of contexts such as social networks, marketing or games the interactions among agents are not necessarily cooperative [3], which are usually described by a signed graph, where positive and negative edge weights denote cooperation and competition among concerned nodes respectively.

One type of agreement over a signed graph is bipartite synchronization, where agents reach an agreement over the modulus of a variable. Bipartite Output Synchronization (BOS) studies output synchronization of the agents in modulus with possibly different signs. There are many engineering applications for BOS like analyzing trustworthiness of the nodes in a network [5] and anticipating unanimity of the opinions in a decision process in the presence of stubborn agents [4].

Comparing with [3,13,11,8], which consider a bipartite state synchronization problem, this paper studies a bipartite output synchronization problem. The BOS of linear heterogeneous agents is considered in [8] where the agents communicate their states of the dynamic system. The current paper is different from [8] in the sense that the agents communicate their outputs only, which is commonly considered in applications. Moreover, our suggested controller can be used for the COS and the BOS of uncertain agents in contrast to [8], as we incorporate a $p$-copy (Definition 1) of the desired synchronized trajectory in the dynamic controller and our controller design does not depend on a unique solution to the output regulation equation [8]. In contrast to other existing works which have restrictive assumptions such as homogeneity of the agents [13,11], undirected communication graphs [11] or first-order dynamics [3], our framework allows heterogeneity of the agents, and a general directed and time-invariant signed communication graph.

This paper is an extended version of [1] and has two main contributions. Firstly, we prove that the $H_{\infty}$-criterion for the COS problems reported in [2,6] can be relaxed for some classes of communication graphs to ensure the existence of solutions for a larger set of problems. Secondly, we prove that the BOS problem is equivalent to the COS problem in the sense that a control solution to one problem induces a control solution to the other problem. In particular, that generalized $H_{\infty}$ criterion introduced in the first contribution can also be applied to the BOS problem which is more relaxed than the $H_{\infty}$ criterion reported in [1].

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we introduce notations and preliminaries. In Section 3 we formulate the BOS and the COS problems, and show that they are equivalent via a novel transformation procedure. In Section 4 we propose a relaxed $H_{\infty}$ criterion as a sufficient condition to ensure the existence of a solution to the COS problem, which is applicable to the BOS problem as well due to the aforementioned transformation procedure. Simulation results are shown in Section 5, and conclusions are drawn in Section 6.
2 Preliminaries

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$ be the set of $n \times m$ real matrices. $I_n$, $1_n$ and $0$ denote the identity matrix of dimension $n \times n$, an $n$-dimensional column vector of 1, and a matrix of zeroes with a compatible dimension, respectively. The Kronecker product of two matrices $A$ and $B$ is denoted as $A \otimes B$. Let $A_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times m}$ for $i = 1, \ldots, N$. The operator $\text{Diag}(A_i)$ builds a block diagonal matrix with $N$ diagonal blocks, whose $i$th diagonal block is $A_i$. The spectrum of matrix $A$ is denoted by $\text{spec}(A)$ which is the multiset of its eigenvalues $\lambda_i$. The spectral radius of $A$ is denoted as $\rho(A) = \max_{i \in \text{spec}(A)} |\lambda_i|$. Given $A = [a_{ij}] \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times m}$, let $B := A_{[n_1, n_2]}$ be a matrix formed by rows $n_1, \ldots, n_2$ and columns $m_1, \ldots, m_2$ of $A$. The cardinality of a set $V$ is denoted by $|V|$. A disjoint union of two sets $V^1$ and $V^2$ is denoted by $V^1 \cup V^2$.

The following definition is used throughout the paper.

**Definition 1 ([7])** A pair of $M_i = I_p \otimes \beta$, $M_q = I_p \otimes \tau$ incorporate a $p$-copy internal model of a square matrix $A$ if $(\beta, \tau)$ is controllable and the minimal polynomial of $A$ divides the characteristic polynomial of $\beta$. □

By [12], a signed graph $G^* = (V, E, \theta)$ is regular if $\rho(A) = 1$. The Laplacian of that (follower) subgraph is defined as $\Lambda(u) = -(\Lambda(v) + \sigma_i)$.

Let $G$ be a directed graph with $N$ nodes and $E$. A directed graph is strongly connected if there is a directed path from any node to any other node. A directed graph $G$ is strongly connected if and only if there exists a directed cycle in $G$. A strongly connected component (SCC) of $G$ is a maximal strongly connected subgraph of $G$. The strongly connected components of $G$ form a partition of the node set $V$.

By [12], a signed graph $G^* = (V, E, \theta)$ is regular if $\rho(A) = 1$. The Laplacian of that (follower) subgraph is defined as $\Lambda(u) = -(\Lambda(v) + \sigma_i)$.

**Lemma 1 ([13, 3])** Let $G^* = (V, E, \theta)$ be a signed graph which is structurally balanced with the bipolarity $V = V^1 \cup V^2$, and $G^u = (V, E)$ be its unsigned equivalent. Then $\Sigma_i A^u \Sigma_i = A^u$ and $\Sigma_i H^u \Sigma_i = H^u$ if and only if $\Sigma_i = \text{Diag}(\sigma_i \Sigma_i) \in D$, where for all $v_i \in V^1, v_j \in V^2$ with $q, r \in \{1, 2\}$, we have $\sigma_i = \sigma_j$ if and only if $q = r$. □

**Lemma 2 ([9])** Let a graph $G = (V, E)$ contain $K$ maximal strongly connected subgraphs $G_k = (V_k, E_k)$, $k = 1, \ldots, K$. One can reorder the nodes such that the adjacent matrix $A$ of $G$ is lower block triangular and its $m$-th diagonal blocks is $\Sigma_m \in \{A_k| 1 \leq k \leq K\}$, where $A_k$ is the adjacent matrix of $G_k$.

3 Bipartite and Cooperative Output Synchronization Problems

Consider a group of $N + 1$ linear heterogeneous agents consisting of $N$ followers labeled as $i = 1, \ldots, N$ and a leader labeled as 0:

$$
\dot{x}_i = A_i x_i + B_i u_i, \quad y_i = C_i x_i, \quad z_i = D_i x_i, \quad i = 1, \ldots, N
$$

where $x_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i}$, $y_i \in \mathbb{R}^p$, $u_i \in \mathbb{R}^m$, and $z_i \in \mathbb{R}^q$ are the state, the output, the control and the measured output of the agent $i$ ($i = 0, \ldots, N$), respectively. We make the following assumption.

**Assumption 1** The signed graph $G^* = (V, E, \theta)$ associated with the multi-agent system is structurally balanced.

Without loss of generality, let $\Sigma_i = \text{Diag}(\sigma_i \Sigma_i)$ be the gauge transformation introduced in Lemma 1, where $v_0 \in V^1$, $\langle \forall v_i \in V^1 \rangle \sigma_i = 1$, and $\langle \forall v_j \in V^2 \rangle \sigma_j = -1$.

**Problem 1 Bipartite Output Synchronization (BOS) Problem**: Consider a group of $N + 1$ linear heterogeneous agents defined by (2-6). Assume that the agents communicate $y_i, s_i$ over a structurally balanced signed graph $G^* = (V, E, \theta)$. Design the matrices $K_{11} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_1}$, $K_{21} \in \mathbb{R}^{n_1 \times n_1}$, $R_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times n_i}$, $S_i \in \mathbb{R}^{n_i \times \bar{n}}$ for each $i = 1, \ldots, N$, such that

$$
u_i = K_{11} z_i + K_{21} \eta_i,
\eta_i = R_i \eta_i + S_i \delta_i,
\text{where } \delta_i = \frac{1}{|V_i| + |G_i|} \sum_{j=1}^{N} (a_{ij} y_i - a_{ij}^* y_j) + g_i^0 y_j - g_i^0 y_0,
$$

render $\lim_{t \to +\infty} e_{hi}(t) = y_i(t) - \sigma_i y_0(t) = 0$. □

In this paper, we transform the BOS problem into another problem called cooperative output synchronization problem, which is defined below.
Problem 2 Cooperative Output Synchronization (COS) Problem: Consider a group of \( N + 1 \) linear heterogeneous agents defined by (2-6). Assume that the agents communicate \( y_i \)‘s over an unsigned graph \( G^u = (V, E) \). Design the matrices \( K_{1i}, K_{2i}, R_i, S_i \) such that for each \( i = 1, ..., N \),

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{u}_i &= K_{1i}z_i + K_{2i}q_i, \\
\dot{q}_i &= R_iq_i + S_i\delta_i, \\
\delta_i &= \frac{1}{|\mathcal{N}_i|} + g^u_i
\end{align*}
\]

render \( \lim_{t \to +\infty} e_{z_i}(t) = y_{i}(t) - y_{0}(t) = 0 \). The controls (7-8) reduce to a state-feedback for \( z_i = x_i \) and an explicit output-feedback for \( z_i = y_i \). We now show the equivalence of the COS and the BOS problems by means of a similarity transformation. Let

\[
\begin{align*}
\tilde{H} &= H^* \otimes I_p, \\
\tilde{H}^u &= H^u \otimes I_p, \\
\tilde{X} &= \Diag\{X_i\}, \quad X_i = \{A_i, B_i, C_i, D_i, R_i, S_i, K_{1i}, K_{2i}\}, \\
\tilde{A}_0 &= I_N \otimes A_0, \quad \tilde{C}_0 = I_N \otimes C_0, C_c = \tilde{C} \tilde{0} , \\
A_c &= \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} + \tilde{B}_K \tilde{D} \tilde{B}_K \tilde{C} \end{bmatrix}, \quad \tilde{\tilde{A}}_c = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} + \tilde{B}_K \tilde{D} \tilde{B}_K \tilde{C} \end{bmatrix}, \\
\tilde{B}_c &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \end{bmatrix}, \\
B_c &= \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{0} \tilde{H}^u \tilde{C} \end{bmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

The overall closed-loop system of all agents (2) seeking the BOS over the structurally balanced signed graph \( G^s \) via controllers (7) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\xi}_b &= A_c\xi_b + B_c r_G, \\
\dot{r}_G &= \tilde{A}_0 r_G, \\
e_c &= C_c\xi_c - \tilde{C}_0 r_G,
\end{align*}
\]

where \( \xi_b = [x^T_1, ..., x^T_N, \eta^T_1, ..., \eta^T_N] \), \( r_G = 1_N \otimes x_0 \). The closed-loop system of all agents (2) seeking the COS over \( G^u \) via the control signal (8) is given by

\[
\begin{align*}
\dot{\xi}_c &= \tilde{A}_c\xi_c + \tilde{B}_c r_G, \\
\dot{r}_G &= \tilde{A}_0 r_G, \\
e_c &= C_c\xi_c - \tilde{C}_0 r_G
\end{align*}
\]

The equivalence between the cooperative state synchronization and the bipartite state synchronization of first-order homogeneous agents is shown in [3]. Next, we show the equivalence between the COS and the BOS for a general linear heterogeneous multi-agent system.

Theorem 1 The control signal \( u_i \) in (7) solves the BOS problem over a structurally balanced signed graph \( G^s = (V, E, \theta) \) if and only if the control signal \( \bar{u}_i \) in (8) solves the COS problem over the unsigned graph \( G^u = (V, E) \).

PROOF. Denote \( \Sigma_2 = \Diag\{\sigma_i \otimes I_{n_i}\} \), \( \Sigma_3 = \Diag\{\sigma_i \otimes I_{n_{in}}\} \), \( \Sigma_4 = \Sigma_1 \otimes I_p \), \( \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \Sigma_2 & 0 \\
0 & \Sigma_3 \end{bmatrix} \). Clearly \( \Sigma^{-1} = \Sigma \). Let \( \xi = \Sigma\xi_b \). Then

\[
\dot{\xi} = \Sigma A_c \xi + \Sigma B_c r_G, \\
e_c = C_c \xi - \Sigma_1 \otimes C_0 r_G.
\]

According to the definition of \( \Sigma \) we have

\[
\Sigma A_c \Sigma = \begin{bmatrix} \tilde{A} + \tilde{B}_K \tilde{D} \tilde{B}_K & \tilde{B}_K \tilde{C} \\
\tilde{B}(\tilde{H}^u \tilde{C}) \Sigma_2 & \tilde{R} \end{bmatrix}.
\]

It is easy to show that \( \tilde{A}_c (\tilde{H}^u \tilde{C}) \Sigma_2 = \tilde{S} \tilde{A} \tilde{C} \Sigma 1 \tilde{H}^u \Sigma = \tilde{S} \Sigma \tilde{A} \tilde{C} \Sigma 1 \tilde{H}^u \Sigma \). According to Lemma 1, \( \Sigma_1 \tilde{H}^u \Sigma = \tilde{H}^u \). Hence \( \Sigma A_c \Sigma = \Sigma c \). Similarly,

\[
\begin{align*}
\Sigma B_c &= \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\
-\tilde{S}(\Sigma_1 \tilde{G}^u \otimes I_p) \tilde{C}_0 \end{bmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\
-\tilde{S}(\Sigma_1 \tilde{G}^u \otimes I_p) \tilde{C}_0 \end{bmatrix}.
\end{align*}
\]

Noting that \( L^u 1_N = 0 \), (11) reads

\[
\dot{\xi} = \tilde{A}_c \xi + \tilde{B}_c r_G.
\]

It remains to show the error (9) in the new coordinate

\[
e_b = \Sigma_4 \Sigma_2 \Sigma_3^{-1} \xi - \Sigma_4 \Sigma_2 \Sigma_3^{-1} \tilde{A}_0 r_G = \Sigma_4 (c_c \xi - \tilde{C}_0 r_G) = \Sigma_4 e_c.
\]

Let \( \xi = [x^T_1, ..., x^T_N, \eta^T_1, ..., \eta^T_N] \) and \( \Sigma_4^{-1} e_b = e_c = [e^n_1, ..., e^n_N]^T \). The system (12) with property \( e_c \to 0 \) represents a COS problem for (2-6) over \( G^u \) using controller (8). Hence, the matrices \( (K_{1i}, K_{2i}, R_i, S_i) \) in (8) solves the COS problem if and only if, the same matrices in (7) solves the BOS problem.

4 A relaxed \( H_\infty \) Criterion for COS and BOS problems

In this section, we extend a result of [2,1] and obtain a sufficient condition to ensure the existence of a control solution to both the BOS and the COS problems. Let graph \( G^u \) contain \( K \) maximal strongly connected subgraphs \( \{G_1, G_2, ..., G_k\} \). Order the nodes in \( G^u \) such that the adjacency matrix of \( G^u \) is lower block triangular. For each \( G_k \), we assume that its vertex set \( V_k = \{v_{c_k}, ..., v_{c_k} + n_{C_k} - 1\} \), where \( |V_k| = n_{C_k} \). Define

\[
Z_k := [Z_{(c_{k}, c_{k} + n_{C_k} - 1)}(c_{k}, c_{k} + n_{C_k} - 1)), \\
A_k := \begin{bmatrix} A_i + B_i K_{1i} D_i B_i K_{2i} \\
S_i C_i \\
R_i \end{bmatrix}, \\
\tilde{B}_i := \begin{bmatrix} 0 \\
S_i \end{bmatrix}, \\
\tilde{C}_i := \begin{bmatrix} C_i 0 \end{bmatrix}, h_i(s) := -\tilde{C}_i (sI - \tilde{A}_i)^{-1} \tilde{B}_i.
\]

Matrix \( Z_k \) is the normalized adjacency matrix of the \( k \)th maximal strongly connected subgraph. We consider the following assumptions [2].

Assumption 2 The graph \( G^u \) contains a directed spanning tree with the leader as its root node.

Assumption 3 rank \( \begin{bmatrix} A_i - I_{n_i}, B_i \end{bmatrix} \) = \( n_i + p \), \( \forall \lambda \in \text{spec}(A_0) \) for \( i = 1, ..., N \).
Assumption 4 The pair \((R_i, S_i)\) contains a p-copy of the leader dynamics \(A_0\).

Assumption 5 The triple \(\begin{bmatrix} A_i & 0 \\ S_i & B_i \end{bmatrix} \begin{bmatrix} D_i & 0 \\ 0 & I_{n_i} \end{bmatrix}\) is output-feedback stabilizable.

Theorem 2 Consider Assumptions 2-5. If \(K_{1i}\) and \(K_{2i}\) are selected in such a way that for all \(k \in \{1, \ldots, K\}\),

\[
\max \|h_i\|_{\infty} < \frac{1}{\rho(Z_k)}, \quad \forall v_i \in V_k
\]  

(14)

then (8) solves the COS problem over \(G^s\).

PROOF. The characteristics equation of \(\hat{A}_c\) reads

\[
\Delta = \det(sI - \hat{A}_c) = \det(X - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & S \end{bmatrix}^T Z \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix})
\]

(15)

\[
\det(X)\det(I - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & S \end{bmatrix}^T Z) = \det(\hat{A}_c)
\]

where \(X = \begin{bmatrix} sI - (\hat{\hat{A}} + \hat{\hat{B}} \hat{K}_1 \hat{D}) & -\hat{\hat{B}} \hat{K}_2 \\ -\hat{S} \hat{C} & sI - \hat{\hat{R}} \end{bmatrix}\) and we have used the Sylvester’s determinant Theorem to obtain the last equation. Let \(T = [c_1^T, c_{N+1}^T, c_2^T, c_{N+2}^T, \ldots, c_{2N}^T] \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times (\sum_{i=1}^{N} n_i + \sum_{i=1}^{N} n_{n_i})}, \quad i = 1, \ldots, 2N\)

where \(\varepsilon_i = n_i\) if \(i = 1, \ldots, N\) and \(\varepsilon_i = n_{n_i}\) otherwise. The matrix \(c_i\) is a block row matrix with \(2N\) blocks which all are zero except the \(i\)th block row which is \(I_{\varepsilon_i}\). Then,

\[
\Delta = \det(T^{-1}TXT^{-1})\times
\]

\[
\det(I - \begin{bmatrix} 0 & S \end{bmatrix}^T Z) = \det(Diag[\hat{A}_c]) \times \det(I + h(s)Z),
\]

where \(h(s) = Diag(h_i)\). Noting that \(\Delta\) is the characteristics equation of \(\hat{A}_c\), this matrix is stable if all roots of \(\Delta\) are strictly negative. The stability of \(F(s) = I + h(s)Z\) guarantees that all poles of \(h(s)\) are stable which are given by the eigenvalues of \(\hat{A}_c\). Hence, the stability of \(F(s)\) implies the stability of \(\hat{A}_c\) and totally, they guarantee the stability of \(\hat{A}_c\). Since the transfer matrix \(h(s)\) is diagonal and the nodes are numbered such that \(Z\) is lower block triangular (Lemma 2), \(F(s)\) is lower block triangular and the stability of \(F(s)\) is given by the stability of its diagonal blocks. For the nodes in \(G_k\), the stability of \(F_{2k}(s) = I + h_{n_{c_k}}Z_k\) is of interest, where \(h_{n_{c_k}}(s) = Diag(\{h_i(s)\})\). By the small gain theorem, \(F_{2k}(s)\) is stable if the condition in (14) is satisfied. Thus, \(\hat{A}_c\) is stable and the COS is achieved. 

Remark 1 The sufficient condition in Theorem 2 is more relaxed than Theorem 2 of [2]. According to Theorem 2, \(\|h_i\|_{\infty}\) should be bounded by \(\frac{1}{\rho(Z_k)}\). However by Theorem 2 of [2], this should be bounded by \(\frac{1}{\max_i \rho(Z_k)}\).

Fig. 1. The communication graph

Clearly, the result by Theorem 2 of [2] is more stringent than Theorem 2. Moreover, according to Theorem 2, if a disjoint strongly connected subgraph \(G_k\) contains a single node, \(Z_k = 0\) and (14) simplifies to the stability of \(\hat{A}_c\).

Corollary 1 Consider Assumptions 1-5. Assume that \(K_{1i}\) and \(K_{2i}\) are selected such that (14) holds. Then (7) solves the BOS problem over \(G^s\).

PROOF. It follows from Theorem 1 and Theorem 2.

5 Simulation Results

Consider the signed graph \(G^s\) shown in Fig. 1. The signed graph \(G^s\) satisfies Assumptions 1-2. Also, it has two non-single-node maximal strongly connected subgraphs containing the nodes \(V_1 = \{3a, 3b, 3c\}\) and \(V_2 = \{7a, 7b, 7c\}\) respectively. We have \(\rho(Z_1) = 0.7937\) and \(\rho(Z_2) = 0.8514\) for \(G_1\) and \(G_2\) respectively. Consider the dynamics of the leader and the followers as

\[
\begin{align*}
0: & \quad \begin{cases} x_0 = [0 \quad 1] \quad x_0, \\
0 & \quad y_0 = [1 \quad 0] \quad x_0, \end{cases} \\
1, 3a, 3c, 7a, 7c: & \quad \begin{cases} x_1 = [-0.3 \quad 0] \quad x_1 + [1.8 \quad 0.9 \quad 0.8] \quad u_1, \\
y_1 = [0.1 \quad 1.2] \quad x_1, z_1 = x_1, \end{cases} \\
2, 3b, 4, 6, 7b: & \quad \begin{cases} x_2 = [0 \quad 0] \quad x_2 \quad x_2 \quad 6 \quad 0, \\
y_2 = [0 \quad 0] \quad x_2, z_2 = [1 \quad 0 \quad 0] \quad x_2 \quad 0 \quad 0 \quad 3 \quad x_2, \end{cases} \\
5, 8: & \quad \begin{cases} x_5 = [0 \quad 1] \quad x_5, z_5 = x_5. \\
y_5 = [1 \quad 0] \quad x_5, z_5 = x_5. \end{cases}
\end{align*}
\]

Select the p-copy of the leader as \(R_i = I_2 \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix}, S_i = I_2 \otimes \begin{bmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 0 & 0 \end{bmatrix},\) \(i = 1, \ldots, N\). Select the controller gains as

\[
\begin{align*}
K_1 & = \begin{bmatrix} -10 & -4 & 460 & 172 & -497 & -183 \\
6 & -13 & -501 & -203 & 246 & 87 \end{bmatrix}, \\
K_{3a, 3c} & = \begin{bmatrix} -0.35 & -0.86 & 0 & -0.09 & -0.01 & -0.3 \\
0.14 & -1.5 & -0.01 & -0.34 & 0 & -0.01 \end{bmatrix}, \\
K_{3b} & = \begin{bmatrix} -1.93 & 1.59 & -0.47 & -2.91 & -0.09 & -2.19 \\
-10.9 & 12.45 & -2.97 & -16.12 & -0.84 & -18.36 \end{bmatrix},
\end{align*}
\]
In Fig. 2 the outputs of all followers, $y_i$, $i = 1, \ldots, 12$, the output of the leader, $y_0$, and its mirror, $-y_0$, are sketched. From the figure we can see that the agents achieve the BOS with two subgroups $V^1 = \{0, 3c, 4, 5, 6, 7a, 7b, 8\}$ and $V^2 = \{1, 2, 3a, 3b, 7c\}$, where $y_i \rightarrow y_0, \forall i \in V^1$ and $y_j \rightarrow -y_0, \forall j \in V^2$. The initial conditions are selected randomly.

6 Conclusion

In this paper we have investigated the COS and the BOS problems of a group of $N + 1$ linear heterogeneous agents consisting of one leader and $N$ followers. We have obtained a relaxed $H_\infty$-criterion as a sufficient condition to ensure the existence of a control solution to the COS problem by using the concept of the maximal strongly connected subgraphs. Moreover, we have shown that the BOS problem over a signed graph is equivalent to the COS problem over an unsigned graph in the sense that a control solution to one problem induces a control solution to the other, which allows all sorts of controller synthesis techniques developed for one problem to be applicable to the other, e.g., that relaxed $H_\infty$ criterion.
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