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For sensitivity analysis of model outputs, the most popular methods are those based on the variance decomposition of the output, such as the Sobol’ indices, as they allow defining easy-to-interpret indices measuring the contribution of each input variable to the overall output dispersion. However, these indices are not adapted to the analysis of the impact of inputs on quantities characterizing extreme events, such as a failure probability, a quantile or a failure domain (Lemaˆıtre et al., 2015). We denote $g(\cdot)$ the studied model, $X = (X_1, \ldots, X_d) \in \mathbb{X}$ the random vector of the $d$ independent input variables and $Y = g(X)$ the model output. $X$ follows a joint probability density function $f(x)$. We focus on a typical problem in structural reliability (Morio and Balesdent, 2015), which is the analysis of the failure probability (the failure event arrives with the event $g(x) < 0$):

$$p = \int_{\mathbb{X}} 1_{\{g(x) < 0\}} f(x) dx.$$  

**Perturbed-Law based sensitivity indices**

Based on the perturbation of each marginal input density, a new type of sensitivity indices has been recently developed (Lemaˆıtre et al., 2015). An input $X_i$, with marginal density $f_i$, is replaced by the perturbed variable $X_{i,\delta}$. $X_{i,\delta}$ follows the density $f_{i,\delta}$, based on the initial $f_i$ perturbed of a $\delta$ quantity. This allows defining the following perturbed probability $p_{i,\delta}$:

$$p_{i,\delta} = \int_{\mathbb{X}} 1_{\{g(x) < 0\}} \frac{f_{i,\delta}(x_i)}{f_i(x_i)} f(x) dx.$$  

From this quantity, we define the Perturbed-Law based Indices (PLI) in the following way:

$$S_{i,\delta} = \left[ \frac{p_{i,\delta}}{p} - 1 \right] 1_{\{p_{i,\delta} > p\}} + \left[ \frac{p}{p_{i,\delta}} - 1 \right] 1_{\{p_{i,\delta} < p\}}.$$  

The PLI measures have some expected properties, such as being equal to 0 when the failure probability is not changed by the perturbation, or taking a sign that indicates the direction of change of the probability with the $\delta$ perturbation. $f_{i,\delta}$ is obtained by minimizing the Kullback-Leibler divergence $KL$ between $f_{i,\delta}$ and $f_i$ for a given shift $\delta$ of a statistical characteristic (for example the mean, the variance, a quantile, ...) of the distribution of $X_i$:

$$KL(f_{i,\delta}, f_i) = \int_{-\infty}^{+\infty} f_{i,\delta}(x_i) \log \frac{f_{i,\delta}(x_i)}{f_i(x_i)} dx_i.$$  

Easy minimization of $KL$ provides explicit (analytical) solutions of $f_{i,\delta}$ for a large range of perturbed parameters of $f_i$ (e.g. mean, variance, ...) on classical pdf (e.g. Gaussian). In other cases, a numerical optimization procedure is required (Lemaˆıtre et al., 2015).

**Monte-Carlo estimation of the PLI measures**

An analytical calculation of the probabilities $p$ and $p_{i,\delta}$ is not possible in practice, and a Monte-Carlo sample $(x^{(1)}, x^{(2)}, \ldots, x^{(N)})$ is used. Thus, the estimations are respectively:

$$\hat{p}_N = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} 1_{g(x^n) \leq 0} \quad \text{and} \quad \hat{p}_{i,\delta,N} = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \frac{1_{g(x^n) \leq 0}}{P_i(x^n)} f_{i,\delta}(x^n).$$  

In practice, the estimation of PLI might require a large-size sample if the failure probability is very low (for instance $10^{-6}$ or less). This could reveal impractical if the code $g$ is costly.
To improve the estimation of $p$ and $p_{i\delta}$, the importance sampling or subset simulation methods could be used but they often remain too costly in practice (Morio and Balesdent, 2015). We propose here to use a metamodel-based approach which has shown a noticeable efficiency for Sobol’ indices (Le Gratiet et al., 2016). In particular, the Gaussian process metamodel allows to control the error on the sensitivity estimates due to the metamodel approximation.

**Bayesian Importance Sampling**

The so-called Bayesian Importance Sampling (BIS) consists in two steps:

1) A Gaussian process model $\tilde{g}$ of the code is built using a budget of $N_0 < N$ computer experiments. This allows to define a relevant importance density at the following step;

2) $p$ and $p_{i\delta}$ are estimated with a $N_1 = N - N_0$ importance sampling scheme (Bect et al., 2015).

The Bayesian optimal importance density $f_{\delta}^*(x)$ is proportional to $f(x)\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{N_0}[g(x)]}$, if $\tilde{Z}$ is an estimator of $Z = \int f(x)\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{N_0}[g(x)]} dx$, the estimate of $p$ is

$$
p_{i\delta, N_0, N_1}^{\text{BIS}} = \frac{\tilde{Z}}{N_1} \sum_{n=1}^{N_1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\mathbb{E}_{N_0}[g(x^n)]}} \frac{f_{i\delta}(x^n)}{f(x^n)}.
$$

**Perspectives: PLI for Sensitivity Analysis over a Quantile**

In safety studies, a large quantile value is often preferred to a failure probability computation. PLI measures can be applied to an output quantile $q_\alpha(Y) = \inf \{ y \text{ s.t. } F(y) \geq \alpha \}$ with $F$ the distribution function of $Y$. This requires to combine quantile estimation and importance sampling. A naive approach for the estimation of quantiles could consist in replacing $E[Y] = \mathbb{E}[X]$ in our sample. A straightforward estimator $q_\alpha(Y)$ is given by $\hat{q}_\alpha(Y) = y^{\text{emp}}$. However, this estimator is unlikely to show good consistency properties, since it is built on a non-continuous quantile function obtained by inverting the empirical cumulative distribution function of $Y$. A more promising approach would be to use a quantile-regression framework (Egloff and Leippold, 2010). We notice that $q_\alpha(Y)$ can be written as $\text{argmin}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E}[X|\rho_\alpha(g(X) - r)]$, where $\rho_\alpha$ denotes the check-function $\rho_\alpha(u) = u(\alpha - 1_{u<0})$ (see Fort et al., 2016). This suggests the following estimator:

$$
\hat{q}_{i\delta}(Y) = \text{argmin}_{r \in \mathbb{R}} \sum_{n=1}^{N} \rho_\alpha(g(x^n) - r) \frac{f_{i\delta}(x^n)}{f(x^n)}.
$$

Replacing $p$ and $p_{i\delta}$ in (1) respectively by $\hat{q}_\alpha$ and $\hat{q}_{i\delta}$ provides the wanted PLI over a quantile.

**References:**


[ Roman Sueur: EDF R&D, 6 Quai Watier, 78401 Chatou, France ]

[ roman.sueur@edf.fr ]