A salient-pole PMSM position and speed estimation at standstill and low speed by a simplified HF injection method
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Abstract—This paper addresses the estimation of a permanent magnet synchronous machine mechanical position and speed estimation at low speed and standstill. The method is based on the injection of an additive voltage at High Frequency (HF), which exploits the position dependency to the magnetic saliency. Unlike the usual HFI method, this estimator has a simple structure with only one filter. The simulation results prove the efficacy of the estimation under no load and with a load torque in the low speed region and at standstill. The mechanical position estimation errors are lower than 0.035rad (2°).

Index Terms— Permanent-Magnet Synchronous Machine (PMSM), Sensorless control, High Frequency Signal Injection, Low speed.

I. INTRODUCTION

Permanent Magnet Synchronous Machines (PMSM) have been receiving an increasing attention in several industrial sectors because of its simplicity of design, ability of operation at high speeds, high efficiency and high power/torque density.

The traditional approach to ensure high performance whatever the operation conditions is to install a mechanical sensor at the detriment of the robustness and the system cost. Herein, we address both existing problematic:
- Ensure stable and robust motor operation in the low speed area without a mechanical sensor;
- Reach comparable level of performance between operation with sensor and without sensor at low and zero speed.

Sensorless control is useful to reduce the cost of the application, or when there is no room for a mechanical sensor. For sensorless applications at high speed, accurate estimations can be obtained with techniques such as Kalman filters [1], the reference models (MRAS) [2] or adaptive observers [3]. However, these methods fail to deliver satisfactory performance at zero or very low speed.

In this case, thanks to the magnetic saliency, signal-injection methods [4] (voltage pulse or high frequency voltage) lead to more accurate estimations. For both methods, one should pay attention to the level of additional torque ripples when selecting the signal properties [5].

The main drawback of voltage pulse is that this method requires the perfect synchronization of the current measurements with the PWM to avoid the noise perturbations.

For the high frequency injection method, its main drawback is related to the rotor position processing from the measured currents. This operation requires three filters and two rotations. Hereafter we propose to evaluate a more straightforward method that should be as accurate in the low-speed range and at standstill.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. Section II is devoted to the model of the PMSM. In Section III we explain the proposed position and speed estimations procedures of PMSM at low speed by HF voltage injection using only one low-pass filter. The simulation results are presented in Section IV. Finally a conclusion closes the paper.

II. MODEL OF THE PMSM

For a permanent magnet synchronous motor the stator voltages in the rotor reference frame can be described as follows:

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
V_d \\
V_q
\end{bmatrix} =
\begin{bmatrix}
R_s & 0 \\
0 & R_s
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
i_d \\
i_q
\end{bmatrix} +
\begin{bmatrix}
\rho & -w_r \\
-w_r & \rho
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
\psi_d \\
\psi_q
\end{bmatrix}
\] (1)
The magnetic flux is given by:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\psi_d \\
\psi_q
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} L_d & 0 \\ 0 & L_q \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i_d \\ i_q \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \psi_m \\ 0 \end{pmatrix}
\]

Transforming (1) into the stationary reference frame ($\omega_r = 0$) results in the following stator voltage equations:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
V_{\alpha} \\
V_{\beta}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} R_s & 0 \\ 0 & R_s \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i_{\alpha} \\ i_{\beta} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{bmatrix} \rho & 0 \\ 0 & \rho \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \psi_{\alpha} \\ \psi_{\beta} \end{pmatrix}
\]

The transformed stator flux linkages can be described as:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\psi_{\alpha} \\
\psi_{\beta}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} -\Delta L \sin(2\theta_r) & L - \Delta L \cos(2\theta_r) \\ L + \Delta L \cos(2\theta_r) & -\Delta L \sin(2\theta_r) \end{bmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} i_{\alpha} \\ i_{\beta} \end{pmatrix} + \begin{pmatrix} \cos(\theta_r) \\ \sin(\theta_r) \end{pmatrix} \psi_m
\]

Where $L$ and $\Delta L$ are the average inductance (average stator transient inductance) and the amplitude of the spatial modulation of the inductance (differential stator transient inductance) [6, 7].

where $L = \frac{L_d + L_q}{2}$ and $\Delta L = \frac{L_q - L_d}{2}$.

III. HF INJECTION FOR SENSORLESS CONTROL

Several authors have studied the injection of a rotating high frequency (HF) voltage for saliency tracking [8, 9]. The high frequency injection voltages with constant amplitude $V_{\omega}$ and angular frequency $\omega_l$ are described as follows [10, 11]:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
V_{\omega} \\
V_{\beta}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} \sin(\omega_l t) \\ \cos(\omega_l t) \end{bmatrix}
\]

In [5], the authors have studied the voltage amplitude selection issue and its consequences on the performances of the position estimation.

For high frequency signals the stator resistance and the effects of the permanent magnet flux linkages can be neglected. A PMSM has a small saliency mainly due to stator saturation from the main magnets. The following HF currents are obtained [11]:

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
i_{\omega} \\
i_{\beta}
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{bmatrix} I_m \cos(\omega_l t) + I_s \cos(2\theta - \omega_l t) \\ I_m \sin(\omega_l t) + I_s \sin(2\theta - \omega_l t) \end{bmatrix}
\]

With:

\[
I_{\omega} = \frac{V_{\omega}(L_q + L_d)}{2\omega_l L_q L_d}; \quad I_{\beta} = \frac{V_{\omega}(L_q - L_d)}{2\omega_l L_q L_d}
\]

Equation (6) reveals a negative-sequence signal proportional to the saliency $\left(L_q - L_d\right)$ that contains information on twice the rotor position $2\hat{\theta}_r$ [11-13].

The rotor position estimate $\hat{\theta}$ can be retrieved from the high frequency currents derived from the measured currents as displayed in Figure 1.

The processing is based on the use of three filters (a low-pass Filter (LPF) a high pass filter (HPF), a band pass-filter (BPF) and two rotations.

![Synchronous filters for demodulation of HF currents.](image)

**Fig.1.** Synchronous filters for demodulation of HF currents.

In order to reduce the computational burden of the estimation, a simplified version is displayed in figure 2. It consists of using only one fourth-order low-pass filter (LPF) and one rotation. The low-pass-filter is used to attenuate the low frequency excitation component.

![Simplified method for rotor position and speed estimations](image)

**Fig.2.** Simplified method for rotor position and speed estimations.
IV. SIMULATION RESULTS

The simulations are performed with Matlab–Simulink®. The parameters of the PMSM are listed in Table I. The operating point is set in the low speed region (\(\Omega < 10 \Omega_n \%\)) at no load.

The general PMSM control system structure investigated in this work is shown in Fig. 3. The control system includes a salient-pole PMSM drive, an inverter, a pulse width modulation (PWM) module, two coordinate transformation modules, two controllers and the HFI estimator.

The machine is fed through a voltage source inverter, and a field oriented voltage vector control is used to drive the PMSM drive. The current control algorithm is carried out every 100 \(\mu\)s, and the speed control loop is carried out every 1ms. The inverter switching frequency is 20 kHz, and the DC bus voltage is set at 200 V.

To evaluate the performances of the HFI method, the position sensor is used for the control and the estimated position is compared to the actual one.

In sensorless mode, the estimated position is used for the control (to compute the speed and the Park transformations). Solid lines represent the actual mechanical speed and position, and dashed lines represent the estimations. The average speed and position estimation errors at steady state are almost zero. The simulation results under no load torque are presented in Fig.4 and Fig.5.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Symbol</th>
<th>Quantity</th>
<th>Value and Unit</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>(\Psi_m)</td>
<td>Magnetic flux</td>
<td>0.153 Wb</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\Omega_n)</td>
<td>Nominal speed</td>
<td>314 rad/s</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(T_n)</td>
<td>Load torque</td>
<td>3.2 Nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L_d)</td>
<td>d axis inductance</td>
<td>3.5 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(L_q)</td>
<td>q axis inductance</td>
<td>4.5 mH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(R_s)</td>
<td>Stator resistance</td>
<td>1.65 (\Omega)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(J)</td>
<td>Inertia</td>
<td>6.4 10⁻³ kg/m²</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(F)</td>
<td>Viscous friction</td>
<td>509 10⁻³ Nm/rad</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(p)</td>
<td>Pole pairs</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(V_n)</td>
<td>Nominal voltage</td>
<td>200 V</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(I_n)</td>
<td>Nominal current</td>
<td>6 A</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Fig. 4 shows the speed and position waveforms of the PMSM drive under no load. We can observe the good tracking capabilities with good dynamic performances. We can also notice the rapid convergence of the estimations. The average speed and position estimation errors are almost zero. The oscillations are mainly due to the PWM of the inverter.

The average position estimation error is equal to 0.015 rad (0.85°). During the transients, the maximal speed estimation error is equal to 0.02% and the maximal position error is equal to 0.032 rad (1.8°).
During this test, the speed of the motor varies in the low speed region between $0\%\Omega_n \leq \Omega \leq 10\%\Omega_n$, where $\Omega_n$ is the nominal speed in rad/s.

Looking at figures 4 and 5, we can conclude that the simplified method exhibits comparable performances with the usual method. Despite a higher position estimation error (in fact lower than 0.03 rad), the speed estimation error is lower during the transients.

We can then adopt this method for sensorless operation and evaluate in the following.

In the following we present the simulation results with a load torque at standstill and low speed using the simplified HFI.
method. In steady state, the average speed and position estimation errors are almost zero.

Fig. 6. Speed and position under load torque during speed reversal test.

On figure 7, we have plotted the transformed currents. We can notice that the d component current is zero and the q torque current component follows the load torque variation.

Fig. 7. Park Transformed currents $i_d, i_q$.

In the following, the robustness of the simplified HFI is evaluated against parameter variation with ±50% variation introduced in the stator resistance $R_s$. The simulation results of the speed, position and the estimation errors displayed in figure 8 show that the estimation efficacy is not altered.

Fig. 8. Robustness of the simplified HFI against parameter variation.

On figure 8, we have plotted the transformed currents. We can notice that the d component current is zero and the q torque current component follows the load torque variation.
VI. CONCLUSION

PMSM drives without mechanical sensors are attractive because of lower cost and higher reliability. However the position information is mandatory for an efficient control. We have proposed in this paper to evaluate the position and speed estimation using a simplified structure of the High Frequency Injection. Its main advantage is its simplicity, as it requires only one filter. The simulation results show that the method is efficient at low speed and at standstill with and without load torque. The maximum mechanical position estimation error is lower than 0.035 rad (2°) with a mean value lower than 0.017 rad (1°) in steady state. The method is therefore very promising for a sensorless drive.
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