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Abstract

The influence of three functionalized hexavanadates (V₆): Na₂[V₆O₁₃{(OCH₂)₃CCH₃}₂][H₂][V₆O₁₃{(OCH₂)₃CCHOCOCH₂CH₃}₂] and [(C₄H₉)₄N][V₆O₁₃{(OCH₂)₃CCH₂OOC(CH₃)₂-COOH}₂] on Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity, was investigated in vitro. Including compounds already tested by Xu et al. (Journal of Inorganic Biochemistry 161 (2016) 27–36), all functionalized hexavanadates inhibit the activity of Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase in a dose-dependent manner but with different inhibitory potencies. Na₂[V₆O₁₃{(OCH₂)₃CCH₃}₂] was found to have the best inhibition properties - showing 50 % inhibition IC₅₀ = 5.50 × 10⁻⁵ M, while [(C₄H₉)₄N][V₆O₁₃{(OCH₂)₃CCH₂OOC(CH₃)₂-COOH}₂] showed the lowest inhibitory power, IC₅₀ = 1.31 × 10⁻⁴ M. In order to understand the bioactivity of functionalized hexavanadates serie, we have also used a combined theoretical approach: determination of electrostatic potential from ab initio theoretical calculations and computation of the molecular interaction field (MIF) surface.

Highlights

• Functionalized hexavanadates inhibit Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase in a concentration-dependent manner.
• Na₂[V₆O₁₃{(OCH₂)₃CCH₃}₂] is the strongest investigated hexavanadate inhibitor.
• Negative electrostatic potential is an indicator of inhibition character.
• Molecular interaction field surface allows predictions of inhibition character.
• The largest negative molecular interaction field concerns the nitro- hexavanadate.
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The inhibition character on Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase of three functionalized hexavanadates have been determined. Using *ab-initio* calculations and molecular interaction field five criteria have been established to understand the inhibition potentiality of nine functionalized hexavanadates. The best criterion is the ratio of negative area of molecular interaction field over its molecular surface.
1. Introduction

Polyoxovanadates (POVs) is a rapidly growing family of vanadium oxide molecular anions, as attested by the occurrence progression in Web of Knowledge© (Figure S1). They exhibit interesting structures and offer extensive potential applications in material science and medicinal chemistry [1-11]. Polyoxometallates (POMs) possess important biological and pharmacological attributes such as antiviral, antibacterial and anticancer properties which are most probably based on their interactions with diverse biomacromolecules [12-17]. The Lindquist type alcohol hexavanadates (that we should refer to as V₆) are the largest subclass of the POV derivatives, in which the hexavanadate core {V₆O₁₉} is stabilized by tridentate ligands (OCH₂)₃R (R = -CCCH₃, -CNO₂, -CCH₂OH, ...). The pioneer investigations of these derivatives were mainly conducted by Zubiena [18-20] and Müller [21]. In recent years, some functionalized V₆ have been synthesized [22-25].

The biological activity of decavanadate (V₁₀) had been extensively reviewed [6-7, 10-11, 26] with potential use in anticancer [27-28] anti-diabetic therapies [29-30] and antibacterial activity [31]. V₁₀ is known to modulate the activity of many proteins such as phosphatases [32]; myosin [33-34] and calcium pump ATPase [35-36] and to interact with proteins such as actin [26, 37, 38]. New POVs have antifungal activities against Candida species [39-40].

The most frequent interactions between POMs and biomacromolecules are electrostatic interactions and hydrogen bonds. Apart from the interactions between POMs and proteins, size and shape of the POM in some cases play a tremendous role [8, 41]. A key to success and further progress in this field is a detailed understanding of the protein-ligand interactions. The description of a molecule and its influence on the surroundings is useful information to explain and understand chemical reactions and bio-chemical interactions. Depending on the different fields, there are several ways to define the interaction force of a molecule with a protein or a receptor, such as lipophilicity, polarizability, electronic properties and steric interactions. An
efficient and elegant method for predicting ligand binding sites would involve the use of a molecular docking software such as GRID [42] designed by Molecular Discovery\textsuperscript{©}. GRID is used to study the energetically favorable binding sites of molecules of known structures. A binding surface on a protein is understood through the definition of an interaction energy for a probe group or compound. It then allows that probe to sample all possible sites on the surface of the protein until a set of potential energy minima is found. As a consequence, these minima represent sites at which the probe could react favorably with the protein under study [43]. Recently, we have found that POVs exhibit inhibitory influence on Na\textsuperscript{+}/K\textsuperscript{+}-ATPase activity [44-45] which is a cell membrane located enzyme. Such enzyme establishes and maintains the high internal K\textsuperscript{+} and low internal Na\textsuperscript{+} concentrations, characteristic and essential for normal cellular activities of most animal cells [46-47]. The activity of this enzyme is very sensitive to the presence of some metal ions and organic compounds of various structures, especially some drugs and pesticides [48-51]. Inhibitions of several ATPases such as P-type ATPases, ABC-ATPases and ribonucleases by decavanadate suggest that V\textsubscript{10} interactions with these proteins are probably favored by the existence of an ATP binding site [52-54]. Considering the key role of Na\textsuperscript{+}/K\textsuperscript{+} - ATPase in normal functioning of most animal cells, as well as its pivotal role in cancer cell migration, the aim of this work is to examine the influence of three hexavanadates (namely: Na\textsubscript{2} [V\textsubscript{6}O\textsubscript{13}{(OCH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}CCH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}] as referred to compound (1-Na) [20]. [H\textsubscript{2}]\textsubscript{2}[V\textsubscript{6}O\textsubscript{13}{(OCH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}CCH\textsubscript{2}OCOCH\textsubscript{2}CH\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{2}] (2-H) [55] and [(C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{9})\textsubscript{4}N][V\textsubscript{6}O\textsubscript{13}{(OCH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{3}CCH2OCOC(CH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{2}-COOH}\textsubscript{2}] (3-TBA) [56] where TBA [(C\textsubscript{4}H\textsubscript{9})\textsubscript{4}N] is the counter cation) on Na\textsuperscript{+}/K\textsuperscript{+} - ATPase activity, using commercially available Na\textsuperscript{+}/K\textsuperscript{+} - ATPase from porcine cerebral cortex as a model system. Numbering of the compounds indicates the different functionalization (different anions), while the counterions are indicated when necessary (see abbreviation section).
In this paper, we suggest a combined approach to investigate the reactivity and to understand the bioactivity of functionalized $V_6$ serie involving the theoretical electrostatic potential (EP) from *ab initio* theoretical calculation, and molecular interaction field (MIF) determination. In a previous paper [45], we have studied the reactivity of four $V_6$ anions (2, 3, 8, 9) using the electrostatic potential values at the molecular surface. In the present work, we have determined EP values at the molecular surface of the other functionalized $V_6$ serie (5, 6, 7). For all $V_6$ anions (1 to 9) and $V_{10}$ anion (10) (see table S1 in supporting information) we have calculated the stabilization energy from *ab initio* calculation and determined the MIF. Understanding protein-ligand interactions is essential in drug design. Therefore, experimental results will be compared with theoretical ones generated from the molecular docking software GRID in order to determine if our methods suggest similar binding ways *i.e.* position and orientation of the ligand.

2. Materials and methods

2.1. Preparation of $V_6$ solutions

Synthesis of 1-Na has been published by Chen et al. [20], 2-H by Wu et al. [55] and 3-TBA by Xiao et al. [56]. Stock solution (0.1M) of 3-TBA was prepared by dissolving the solid compound in DMSO, while 1-Na and 2-H stock solutions were prepared in water. Working solutions were prepared daily by diluting the stock solutions with water to the desired concentrations, shortly before use.

2.2. $Na^+/K^+$-ATPase assay

$Na^+/K^+$-ATPase from porcine cerebral cortex was purchased from Sigma Chemicals Co.® (Germany). Final DMSO volume fraction in the incubation medium did not exceed 1%. The activity of $Na^+/K^+$-ATPase was followed in the absence (control) and presence (during 20 min)
of increasing $V_6$ concentrations by monitoring spectrophotometrically (Perkin Elmer® Lambda 35 UV-VIS spectrophotometer) the released $P_i$ liberated from the enzymatic hydrolysis of ATP [57].

2.3. **Computational details: Ab initio calculations**

Density functional theory (DFT) calculations were performed with Gaussian 09 (EM64L-G09RevC.01) [58]. Different functionals and basis sets have been employed (Table S2) depending on the calculation (EP or charges). All belong to the hybrid functionals family, and therefore include a mixture of Hartree-Fock exchange with DFT exchange-correlation (B3LYP [59], M06/M06-2X [60], B3PW91 [61]). The basis sets take into account polarization and diffuse functions (6-31+G(d, p) [62], 6-311+G(d, p) / 6-311++G(d, p) [63], cc-pVTZ [64]). The atomic coordinates of 10 [65], 9 [66], and 3[67] anions are obtained from the corresponding high resolution X-ray diffraction nuclei positions, without geometrical optimization. Atomic coordinates of other $V_6$ serie are obtained from Cambridge Structure Database (CSD). Refcodes and references are given in Table S1. The geometry has been optimized within the M06/6-31+G(d, p) quantum chemical model. The computed densities have converged at cc-pVTZ level, except for 5, which has converged at 6-311+G(d, p) level because of computation time constraints. Due to disorder on one organic chain, no EP has been determined for 3. Only a density has been computed in order to estimate the volume and the area at the molecular surface.

All figures have been obtained using Molekel [68]. Converged *ab-initio* results are then used to compute topological properties and indicators in the framework of Bader's Quantum Theory of Atoms in Molecules theory using a dedicated computer code AIMALL (Version 14.11.23) [69].

2.4. **Computational details: molecular interaction field**
The interaction of the probe group (H₂O, OH, NH, CH₃, metal,...) with the target (the drug or the inhibitor) is computed at sample positions (the grid points) distributed throughout and around the molecule [42]. With the probe at each GRID [70] point in turn, the total interaction energy is calculated from:

\[
\sum E = E_{LJ} + E_Q + E_{HB} + E_S
\]

Eq. (1)

In this formula:
- \(\sum E\) indicates pairwise energy summation between the probe at its grid points and every appropriate atom of the target (including predicted water molecules);
- \(E_S\) is the appropriate entropic term at the grid points introduced by the authors [71] to take into account when parts of the target are treated as flexible or when the probe interactions are compared to that of water, and for the hydrophobic probe;
- The \(E_{LJ}\) term is the well-known “Lennard-Jones energy”, and is computed as the sum of two terms:

\[
E_{LJ} = \frac{A}{d^{12}} - \frac{B}{d^6}
\]

Eq. (2)

d is the inter-atomic distance, and the energy variables A and B are calculated from the Van der Waals radius, polarizability and effective number of electrons of the atoms (\(E_{LJ} = E_{VDWR}\));
- \(E_Q\) is the electrostatic term and \(E_{HB}\) is the standard hydrogen bond interaction.

We used an unstable development release of GRID software [70] to parametrize V²⁺ in the target, due to the fact that the commercial version is only configured for vanadium as a probe. The impossibility to constrain the total charge on the molecules implies that the results mapped on the molecular surface (as determined from ab initio calculations) are presented in arbitrary unit (a.u.) which should be kcal.mol⁻¹. The figures presented in this paper have been done using Chimera [UCSF Chimera, version 1.13.1].
3. Results and discussions

3.1. In vitro influence of V₆ compounds on Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity

In a previous paper [45], we have studied *in vitro* influence of five functionalized V₆ (1-TBA, 2-TBA, 7-TBA, 8-TBA and 8-Na) on the commercial porcine cerebral cortex Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity. In this study, the influence of three other functionalized V₆ compounds (1-Na, 2-H, 3-TBA) on Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity was investigated in the concentration range 1×10⁻⁸ - 1×10⁻³ M. The enzyme activity, expressed as a percentage of the control value (obtained without inhibitor), decreases in the presence of increasing V₆ concentrations, showing a monophasic sigmoidal curve for all cases (Figure 1a).

The inhibition parameters, the concentration of the investigated compounds with capability to inhibit 50 % of the enzyme activity after given exposure time (IC₅₀) and Hill's coefficient (nᵢ), were determined using the Hill's analysis (Figure 1b) and are summarized in Table 1. Our results indicate that all investigated compounds inhibit the Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase activity in a dose-dependent manner, but with different inhibiting potencies. 1-Na (IC₅₀ = 5.50 × 10⁻⁵ M) was found to be the best inhibitor of Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase activity, while 3-TBA showed the lowest inhibitory potency (IC₅₀ = 1.31 × 10⁻⁴ M) (Table1). The calculated nᵢ values (nᵢ < 1) suggest that there is no positive cooperativity inV₆ binding relative to the enzyme (see Table 1).

Those results are in agreement with the concentration-dependent inhibitory effect of POVs on synaptic plasma membrane and commercially available porcine cerebral cortex Na⁺/K⁺ ATPase already reported [44-45]. Table 2 contains the inhibition results for eight investigated V₆ (three compounds in this work and five ones previously reported); the counterion is indicated. Our results demonstrate that the inhibitory effect on Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity leads to the following ranking: 7-TBA > 8-TBA > 1-TBA > 2-TBA > 1-Na ~ 2-H > 3-TBA > 8-Na. As a matter of fact, the most potent inhibitor is compound 7-TBA (IC₅₀ = (1.8 ± 0.5) × 10⁻⁵ M), while the
compounds 8-TBA, 1-Na, 2-TBA and 1-TBA are significantly weaker inhibitors of Na\(^+\)/K\(^+\)-ATPase. Three anions have been tested with different counter cations: 1-TBA, 2-TBA and 8-TBA with TBA, while 1-Na and 8-Na where prepared with [Na]\(^+\) and 2-H with [H]\(^+\). It is interesting to observe that both compounds 8-TBA and 2-TBA, synthesized with TBA as a counter cation, have lower IC\(_{50}\). The last compound 1-Na is in the interval errors. This would suggest that small cations such as sodium or proton could interact with Na\(^+\)/K\(^+\)-ATPase and prevent the inhibition action of V\(_6\). Therefore, taking into account the inhibition parameter with the same counter cation (TBA), we observe the following ranking: 7-TBA > 8-TBA > 1-TBA > 2-TBA > 3-TBA. From simple chemical eyes, it seems that an additional negative charge on nitro- 7-TBA or alcoholic groups 8-TBA results in stronger enzyme inhibition. 10-NH\(_4\) is up to approximately two hundred times more potent inhibitor of the purified enzyme (IC\(_{50}\) = 1.71 × 10\(^{-6}\) M) [44] than the investigated V\(_6\) compounds. This is in agreement with the fact that all the functionalized V\(_6\) anions bear a formal charge of -2, while V\(_{10}\) is described with a formal charge of -6. The experimental electron density determination on 2-TBA in solid state, and its interpretation via integrated source function, give only 5.5 % of charge transfer from cation to anion [67]. In solution state, the functionalized V\(_6\) and V\(_{10}\) anions are stable [72]. Although a few different isoforms of brain Na\(^+\)/K\(^+\)-ATPase have been known [44], the obtained monophasic inhibition curves do not suggest the heterogeneity of the V\(_6\) binding sites. This is in agreement with previously published findings related to POM-induced inhibition of different types of ATPases [44-45, 51]. Hexavanadate V\(^{(V)}\) derivatives are susceptible to hydrolysis and not stable in water [73]. When the valence state involves (V\(^{4+}\)) species, it comes to be stable against hydrolysis. It should be no problem because the activity is far below the decavanadates and this decomposition should be minor.
3.2. *Ab initio* calculations

In order to interpret the differences we have observed in inhibition activities of POVs and so as to complete our investigation, *ab initio* calculations (the energy of stabilization and theoretical EP) were carried out for different V₆ anions (Table S2).

Reactivities of four V₆ anions (1, 2, 7 and 8) using EP values at the molecular surface have already been investigated in a previous work [45]. We have now determined EP values at the molecular surface of the other functionalized V₆ anions (4, 5, 6 and 9) and for the V₁₀ anion (10). The quality of these *ab initio* calculations is established through the comparison of the experimental EP mapped on the molecular surface of 10 determined previously [65] with the theoretical one (Figure 2, first line). The excellent agreement brings legitimacy to a precise interpretation of *ab initio* results on the V₆ serie.

In order to graphically exhibit the intermolecular charge transfer, between V₆ core and the organic ligand, iso-surfaces of EP are mapped (iso-value surface at ± 0.40 eÅ⁻¹) for anions 1 (Figure 3), 7 (Figure 4), 2 (Figure 5), 9 (Figure 6), 6 (Figure S3), 4 (Figure S4), 5 (Figure S5), 8 (Figure S6). The qualitative observation of the EP indicates that V₆ have two hydrophobic heads and a hydrophilic hexavanadate core. The red part (negative) is localized around the V₆ core, which corresponds to the most nucleophilic regions, while the blue part (positive) is concentrated over the organic ligand and represents the most electrophilic regions. The EP values are the most negative in the vicinity of the three oxygen atoms. It provides a predictable pattern for noncovalent interactions and figures out the probable chemical reaction sites. In this type of functionalized V₆ compounds, O1ₓ (bonded to only one tungsten atom) and O2ₓ (shared between two tungsten atoms) are potential reaction sites [21, 74, 75]. Moreover, three anions 6, 7 and 8 present an extension of the nucleophilic region on the organic chain. These negative regions are in the vicinity of heteroatoms (oxygen or carbon). A selection of quantitative parameters is reported in Table 2. This extension is not directly connected to the number of
heteroatoms on the organic chain, but it is the signature of amino-, nitro-, hydroxy, pyridyl-chemical groups. Compounds which present an ester group do not exhibit negative EP in the vicinity of their oxygen atoms. In order to explain the differences in term of inhibition for the compounds studied in this paper, we make the hypothesis that a negative contribution will favorize inhibition. Therefore, in the following discussion we will examine some criteria which could have an impact on their inhibition potencies. According to the criterion, “a negative EP extension surface on the organic chain” that we will refer to as criterion I, anions 6, 7 and 8 would be better inhibitors than the others (no results on 5).

Atomic net charges are obtained for short and long functionalized V₆ serie by integration on atomic basins following the method of QTAIM (Table S3). Charges of V atoms are in a narrow range, from +2.333 e to +2.483 e. Summations of AIM charges for V₆ core are maintained around -5.2 e although the organic ligands are chemically different. Therefore, the criterion “theoretical atomic net charges values” that we will refer to as criterion II, would not be a pertinent criterion for explaining the different inhibition values for the V₆ serie.

3.3. Molecular interactions field calculation

MIF surfaces for seven V₆ and for V₁₀ indicating the place of preferential interactions between vanadate and water have been determined. MIF values are presented as positive and negative iso-surfaces (second line, left of the figure) and are also plotted on the molecular surface (second line, right of the figure) for the following anions: 1 (Figure 3), 7 (Figure 4), 2 (Figure 5), 9 (Figure 6), 6 (Figure S3), 4 (Figure S4), 5 (Figure S5) and 10 (Figure 2). Iso-surface positive MIF (in red on the figures) mimic the possible interaction localization between protons of the active site of the Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase and functionalized V₆. According to the criterion, “a negative MIF extension on the organic chain”, that we will refer to as III, anions 6, 7, 2, 9 and 4 would be better inhibitors than the others.
In order to quantify the extension of these surfaces, volumes and areas (at the values indicated in the Figures) are reported in Table 2. A larger surface would be favorable to interactions. According to the criterion, “a MIF surface area value” that we will refer to as IV, V₆ serie could be ranked as follows: 9 >> 10 >> 4 > 5 ~ 6 ~ 2 >> 1. It leads to the prediction that 9 would be the best inhibitor (no results on 8 and 3).

In Figure 2, the EP mapped on molecular surface of 10 gives a range of colors leading us to distinguish the different oxygen atoms and determine which oxygen atom would be preferentially involved in the interaction (in solid state as well as in solution state) [76]. In contrast to MIF mapped on the same molecular surface, differences cannot be distinguished between the oxygen atoms of V₁₀. For the V₆ compounds, the molecular surface is divided into two areas: the positive MIF (blue part) and the negative MIF (red part). There is no smooth transition between these two areas. However, as for EP, the values of MIF (Figure S3, second line left and right) at greater distance (as depicted by the iso-surface) indicates the contribution of nitrogen atom to the prediction of interaction (see for example compound 7).

3.4. Interpretation of the inhibition results combining EP and MIF results

According to the three methods (IC₅₀, EP, MIF) used in this paper to study the potential inhibiting power of POVs on Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase activity, we have been able to draw some conclusions which are summarized in Table 3 and presented graphically in Figure 7. As observed previously, small counter cations could bind to the protein and therefore perturbate the influence of the organic substituent on a V₆ serie. Therefore, we have decided to retain for our conclusions only the experiments performed with TBA as a cation. Their IC₅₀ values are reported in orange in Figure 7. Examination of EP have been done via observation of negative EP extension on organic chain. Favorable criterion I is indicated in the column with the symbol (✓), while unfavorable criterion I appears in the column with the symbol (✗). This is graphically
indicated on the right part of Figure 7 (green bars). The two first better inhibitors verify criterion I. Similarly, qualitative observation of the MIF extension in the vicinity of the organic part (criterion III) is also shown on the right part of Figure 7 (red bars). There is no correlation with the inhibition behavior of the three compounds (7-TBA, 2-H and 1-Na) for which we have IC\textsubscript{50} and criterion III information. Criterion IV for the V\textsubscript{6} serie has been reported in Table 2 and in Figure S7 (grey bars). There is still no correlation with the inhibition values.

In order to normalize this area with respect to the size of the different anions, a ratio (negative MIF iso-surface at 2 Kcal.mol\textsuperscript{-1} over the molecular surface area) is calculated (Table 2). Those ratios are displayed in the center of Figure 7 (blue bars) and is refer to as criterion V. Compounds have been ranked according to this ratio (top the greatest ratio, to the smallest on the bottom). The expected correlation is now rendered for the three V\textsubscript{6} anions (7, 2 and 1) and for 10. Those four anions are the ones for which we have both information: the greater the ratio, the better the inhibitor. In other word, it means that smaller compounds exhibiting larger negative region for interactions are expected to be better inhibitors. The last two anions for which MIF could not be computed (for technical reasons) have been placed in Figure 7 according to their IC\textsubscript{50} values.

Now, we can observe the organic radical R (left part of Figure 7) from the \([\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{R}\}_2]\text{2}^-\) anion formula. This allows us to predict which compounds would be good inhibitors. Our recommendation would be to use an amino-group on a small organic chain such as compound 6-TBA. Comparisons between 2-TBA, 4-TBA and 5-TBA indicate that a longer chain should decrease the inhibition potential. A large compound with many heteroatoms such as 5-TBA gives a large extension of negative MIF over the organic chain, but a smaller ratio. Therefore, it should not be a good inhibitor.
4. Conclusion

From a methodological point of view, the goal of this work was to use the MIF tool as a bridge between experimental inhibition results and sophisticated CPU time consuming \textit{ab initio} computations. Our results have demonstrated that EP iso-surface would be good criterion to classify interactions, but it did not provide any ranking. A combination of fine quantitative analysis of MIF results and \textit{ab initio} calculations allowed us to interpret and predict the potential inhibitor character of a V$_6$ series.

From a biochemical point of view, we can conclude that the tested functionalized V$_6$ compounds exhibit concentration-dependent inhibitory effect on Na$^+$/K$^+$-ATPase activity. The inhibitory potencies of this V6 series toward Na$^+$/K$^+$-ATPase are weaker (about two orders of magnitude) related to V$_{10}$. Size of 10 is smaller than the functionalized V$_6$, which indicates that 10 can easily access to the binding site of the receptor. The most hydrophilic EP and MIF iso-surface concerns anion 7. This small anion has negative charge around the two heads and the higher affinity toward (positively charged) protein regions. Our results confirm that the differences in the inhibition power are due to different charges, sizes and shapes of these V$_6$, and more precisely to the ratio of a hydrophilic region area normalized with their molecular surface area of the whole molecule.

It is well known that a monomeric orthovanadate is bound to the catalytic site of P-type ATPases, acting as a transition-state analog of phosphate, and consequently inhibits the enzyme activity at nanomolar concentrations [77, 78]. Because the investigated polymeric vanadates exhibit weaker inhibitory potencies (at micromolar concentrations), it seems that the enzyme active site is not directly involved in the inhibition by the polymeric species. In other words, the enzyme activity is modified due to the interaction of the polymeric vanadates with binding sites different from the active one.
A better understanding of POMs – protein interaction may be useful to elucidate the biological activities of the POMs in order to make them available and safe for clinical use. This approach, which combines ab initio calculations, semi-empirical computations and experimental inhibition studies, contributes to finding a potential and at the same time selective and specific Na⁺/K⁺-ATPase inhibitor, one of the key enzymes in the functioning of a healthy cell and development of pathological conditions.
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Abbreviation

Numbering of the compounds is an association of a number (the POV anions) and a group of letters (the counter cation). When a fictive anion is concerned (for EP and MIF), the corresponding number (without letters) is given in the text.
\[1-\text{Na} = \text{Na}_2 [\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{CCH}_3\}]_2\]

\[1-\text{TBA} = [(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_4\text{N}]_2 [\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{CCH}_3\}]_2\]

\[2-\text{H} = [\text{H}_2]_2 [\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{CCH}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_3\}]_2\]

\[2-\text{TBA} = [(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_4\text{N}]_2 [\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{CCH}_2\text{OCH}_2\text{CH}_3\}]_2\]

\[3-\text{TBA} = [(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_4\text{N}]_2 [\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{CCH}_2\text{OOC}(\text{CH}_3)_2\text{COOH}\}]_2\]

\[4-\text{TBA} = [(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_4\text{N}]_2 [\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{CCH}_2\text{OOC}(\text{CH}_2)_4\text{CH}_3\}]_2\]

\[5-\text{TBA} = [(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_4\text{N}]_2 [\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{CCH}_2\text{OOC}(\text{CH}_2)_{16}\text{CH}_3\}]_2\]

\[6-\text{TBA} = [(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_4\text{N}]_2 [\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{CNH}_2\}]_2\cdot\]

\[7-\text{TBA} = [(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_4\text{N}]_2 [\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{CNO}_2\}]_2\]

\[7-\text{TBA-CH}_2\text{Cl}_2 = [(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_4\text{N}]_2 [\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{CNO}_2\}]_2 0.67(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2)\]

\[8-\text{TBA} = [(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_4\text{N}]_2 [\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{CCH}_2\text{OH}\}]_2\]

\[8-\text{Na} = \text{Na}_2 [\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{CCH}_2\text{OH}\}]_2\]

\[9-\text{TBA} = [(\text{C}_4\text{H}_9)_4\text{N}]_2 [\text{V}_6\text{O}_{13}\{(\text{OCH}_2)_3\text{CNHCOC}_{15}\text{N}_3\}]_2\]

\[10-\text{NH}_4 = (\text{NH}_4)_6 [\text{V}_{10}\text{O}_{28}] \cdot 5\text{H}_2\text{O}\]

\[10-\text{Cyt} = \text{Na}_5 [\text{V}_{10}\text{O}_{28}] (\text{C}_4\text{N}_3\text{OH}_5)_3(\text{C}_4\text{N}_3\text{OH}_6)_3\]

\text{CSD} = \text{Cambridge Structure Database}

\text{DFT} = \text{density functional theory}

\text{EP} = \text{electrostatic potential}

\text{MIF} = \text{molecular interaction field}

\text{POM} = \text{polyoxometalate}

\text{POV} = \text{polyoxovanadate}

\text{V}_{10} = \text{decavanadate}

\text{V}_{6} = \text{hexavanadate}
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Table caption

Table 1. The inhibition parameters of hexavanadates for Na⁺/K⁺ - ATPase obtained by Hill analysis; IC₅₀ is the concentration of the investigated compounds with capability to inhibit 50% of the enzyme activity.

Table 2. Geometrical, MIF and inhibition parameters of V₁₀ and a set of functionalized V₆. Compounds are separated in three groups (between the bold lines) V₁₀, short V₆, long V₆. Number of carbon atoms (second column) and heteroatoms (third column) contained in the organic chain. Geometrical parameters: longest length between two atoms of the organic chain.
(fourth column); volume (fifth column) and area (sixth column) occupied by the electron density at the molecular surface (0.00007 eA\(^{-3}\)) as determined via \textit{ab initio} calculations. MIF parameters: area (seventh column) of the negative surface at \(-2\) kcal.mol\(^{-1}\) for \(V_6\) and \(-0.7\) kcal.mol\(^{-1}\) for \(V_{10}\); ratio (eighth column) of the MIF negative iso-surface over molecular surface area. IC\(_{50}\) inhibition parameters (M): the different columns correspond to the different cations used to stabilize the anions in the inhibition experiments. Some values have already been published and are indicated \(^{a}\) [44] \(^{b}\) [45].

**Table 3.** Summary of the inhibition results or predictions obtained with the different methods used in this work.

**Figure caption**

***Figure 1.*** (a) Concentration-dependent inhibition of Na\(^+\)/K\(^+\)-ATPase activity induced by three functionalized hexavanadates. The values are expressed as mean \(\pm\) S.E.M; (b) Hill analysis of Na\(^+\)/K\(^+\)-ATPase activity inhibition induced by three functionalized hexavanadates.

***Figure 2.*** Experimental, theoretical electrostatic potential (EP) and molecular interaction field (MIF) with water probe on anion 10. Experimental EP (10-Cyt) is reproduced with permission of reference [65].

***Figure 3.*** Electrostatic potential (EP) (Gaussian/M06/cc-pVTZ) and molecular interaction field (MIF), water probe on anion 1. EP is reproduced with permission of reference [45].

***Figure 4.*** Electrostatic potential (EP) (Gaussian/M06-2X/cc-pVTZ) and molecular interaction field (MIF), water probe on anion 7. EP is reproduced with permission of reference [45].

***Figure 5.*** Electrostatic potential (EP) (Gaussian/M06-2X/cc-pVTZ) and molecular interaction field (MIF), water probe on anion 2. EP is reproduced with permission of reference [45].

***Figure 6.*** Electrostatic potential (EP) (Gaussian/M06-2X/cc-pVTZ) and molecular interaction field (MIF), water probe on anion 9.
**Figure 7.** Comparison of experimental inhibition parameter (IC$_{50} \times 1000$) for the V$_6$ compounds stabilized with TBA as a counter cation with the ratio (eighth column of Table 2) of the MIF negative iso-surface over molecular surface area (criterion V). The organic ligand is given besides the anion number. Two colored lines indicates the trend. Compounds were ranked according to their ratio values. When the value does not exist the compounds have been inserted according to their IC$_{50}$ value. Criteria on the negative extension of negative EP criterion I) or MIF iso-surface (criterion III) are indicated on the right part of the figure. It has to be noticed that due to the construction of this figure, one cannot predict the relative inhibition potential between the group 4 > 9 > 5 and 3 which could be inserted at any position in this series in this ranking.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure of functionalized hexavanadates</th>
<th>$IC_{50}$, M</th>
<th>$n_H$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1-Na</td>
<td>$\left[ \text{H}_2\text{C}-%V_6-%\text{CH}_3\right]_2\text{Na}$</td>
<td>$(5.50 \pm 0.28) \times 10^{-5}$</td>
<td>0.44</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-H</td>
<td>$\left[ \text{H}_2\text{C}-%V_6-%\text{CH}_3\right]_2\text{H}$</td>
<td>$(1.05 \pm 0.05) \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.98</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-TBA</td>
<td>$\left[ \text{HOOC-(CH}_2\text{)}_2-%V_6-%\text{CH}_3\text{-COOH}\right]_2\text{TBA}$</td>
<td>$(1.31 \pm 0.06) \times 10^{-4}$</td>
<td>0.99</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Table 2.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Geometrical parameters</th>
<th>MIF</th>
<th>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Num. C</td>
<td>Num N or O</td>
<td>length (Å)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>11.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>12.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>12.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>27.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>54.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Favorable</th>
<th>Unfavorable</th>
<th>Non tested</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Exp.</strong></td>
<td>IC&lt;sub&gt;50&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>(all compounds)</td>
<td>4-TBA, 5-TBA, 6-TBA, 9-TBA and 10-Cyt</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>EP</strong></td>
<td>I EP extension</td>
<td>6, 7 and 8</td>
<td>1, 2, 4, 5 and 9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>II Atomic net charges</td>
<td>no effect</td>
<td>3 and 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MIF</strong></td>
<td>III MIF extension</td>
<td>2, 4, 6, 7 and 9</td>
<td>1 and 5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>IV MIF surface</td>
<td>9 &gt;&gt; 10 &gt;&gt; 4 &gt;&gt; 5 ~ 6 ~ 2 &gt;&gt; 1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>MIF + molecular surface</strong></td>
<td>V ratio</td>
<td>10 &gt; 6 &gt; 7 &gt; 2 &gt; 1 &gt; 4 &gt; 9 &gt; 5</td>
<td>8 and 3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 1

a) [Graph showing activity (% of control) against hexavanadate (M) with data points for 1-Na, 2-H, and 3-TBA.]

b) [Graph showing log [% activity / (100 - % activity)] against log C_v6 with data points for 1-Na, 2-H, and 3-TBA.]
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