



HAL
open science

A gradient-improved particle swarm optimizer using surrogate modeling

Marc Lambert, Charles Boulitrop

► **To cite this version:**

Marc Lambert, Charles Boulitrop. A gradient-improved particle swarm optimizer using surrogate modeling. 25th International Workshop on Electromagnetic Nondestructive Evaluation (ENDE'22), Jun 2022, Budapest, Hungary. hal-03847594

HAL Id: hal-03847594

<https://hal-centralesupelec.archives-ouvertes.fr/hal-03847594>

Submitted on 10 Nov 2022

HAL is a multi-disciplinary open access archive for the deposit and dissemination of scientific research documents, whether they are published or not. The documents may come from teaching and research institutions in France or abroad, or from public or private research centers.

L'archive ouverte pluridisciplinaire **HAL**, est destinée au dépôt et à la diffusion de documents scientifiques de niveau recherche, publiés ou non, émanant des établissements d'enseignement et de recherche français ou étrangers, des laboratoires publics ou privés.

A gradient-improved particle swarm optimizer using surrogate modeling

Charles BOULITROP^{a,1} and Marc LAMBERT^a

^a *Université Paris-Saclay, CentraleSupélec, CNRS, Laboratoire de Génie Electrique et Electronique de Paris, 91192, Gif-sur-Yvette, France.*
Sorbonne Université, CNRS, Laboratoire de Génie Electrique et Electronique de Paris, 75252, Paris, France

Abstract. An optimization scheme combining a PCE metamodel and a PSO optimizer has been implemented and tested on both a well-posed and an ill-posed ECT configurations. The algorithm has been improved by integrating the information of the gradient of the PCE, improving the quality of the parameter retrieval.

Keywords. inverse problems, particle swarm optimization, surrogate modeling, polynomial chaos expansion

Introduction Inverse scattering problems can be solved by using optimization, in which a cost function is minimized. The cost function usually measures the error between observations and simulations computed with the sought parameters. However the computational weight of running such an optimization with an exact physical solver is often too much for it to be considered. Metamodels - or surrogate models - aim at replacing the exact physical solver by an approximate mathematical function that describes the physical solver over the search space. This improves the optimization by avoiding to solve the physical problem at each iteration, at the cost of building a set of solutions to the problem over the search space beforehand.

Method A Polynomial Chaos Expansion (PCE) is used to surrogate the physical solver. The UQLab toolbox [1] is used to compute the coefficients of the expansion. The main advantage of the PCE metamodeling framework is its closed-form expression, from which its gradient can be computed.

The PCE metamodel is used as an interpolator of the search space and is integrated inside a Particle Swarm Optimizer (PSO). The PSO is a stochastic iterative global optimization algorithm, based on the social behavior of groups of animals[2]. A swarm of particles explores the search space, which is mapped by a cost function. Thanks to the gradient of the metamodel [3], the gradient of the cost function is computed and used to converge faster towards the desired cost function value [4].

Configurations The designed method has been tested over two Eddy Current Testing (ECT) configurations. The first one, from an internal L2S-ELEDIA collaboration, has a single rectangular crack with varying depth, length and width. It is made of 1000 points sampled as a uniform grid in the 3-dimensional search space. The second one, from the

¹Corresponding Author E-mail: charles.boulitrop@geeps.centralesupelec.fr

JSAEM [5], has two rectangular cracks with varying depths, lengths and separation gap inbetween. It is made of 500 points sampled by Latin Hypercube Sampling (LHS) over the 5-dimensional search space. This configuration is ill-posed, meaning that the same observation can happen from different sets of parameters.

Results To assess the performance of the optimization, the retrieved parameters are compared to their expected values, which gives a measure called reconstruction error. The gradient-improved PSO performed better than the PSO alone both in terms of mean and variance of reconstruction error. Table 1 summarizes these results. The computations have been carried out on an Intel[®] Xeon[®] E5-2660, with 2 CPUs, 16 cores each at 2.20 GHz clock speed.

Number of parameters	Algorithm	Computation time (s)	norm. reconstruction error	
			Mean	Std
3	PSO	0.46	98.76×10^{-3}	150.8×10^{-3}
	GPSO	4.80	74.02×10^{-3}	82.12×10^{-3}
5	PSO	9.07	202.6×10^{-3}	356.5×10^{-3}
	GPSO	191.57	140.7×10^{-3}	305.4×10^{-3}

Table 1. Comparison of reconstruction errors of the two algorithms on the two configurations

Conclusion and further works The integration of a PCE metamodel inside a particle swarm optimizer has been studied and tested over two ECT configurations, yielding good results. Additionnally, the proposed method has been refined by adding a local search thanks to the information of the gradient of the metamodel.

The current algorithm could be used for uncertainty quantification, and a solution to ill-posedness could be of interest.

References

- [1] S. Marelli and B. Sudret, “UQLab: a framework for uncertainty quantification in Matlab”, *Vulnerability, Uncertainty, and Risk*, 2014, 2554–2563.
- [2] J. Kennedy and R. Eberhart, “Particle swarm optimization”, *Proceedings of ICNN’95 - International Conference on Neural Networks*, vol. 4, 1995, 1942–1948.
- [3] B. Sudret and C. Mai, “Computing derivative-based global sensitivity measures using polynomial chaos expansions”, *Reliability Engineering & System Safety* **134** (2015), 241–250.
- [4] M. Noel, “A new gradient based particle swarm optimization algorithm for accurate computation of global minimum”, *Appl. Soft Comput.* **12** (2012), 353–359.
- [5] S. Bilicz, “Sensitivity analysis of inverse problems in EM non-destructive testing”, English, *IET Science, Measurement & Technology* **14** (2020), 543–551.